RRC-SR-81

STATE OF WISCONSIN

RETIREMENT RESEARCH COMMITTEE

STAFF REPORT NO. 81

1994 COMPARATIVE STUDY OF

MAJOR PUBLIC EMPLOYEE RETIREMENT SYSTEMS

Prepared by RRC Staff Blair Testin, Director August, 1994*

Retirement Research Committee Room 316 - 110 E. Main Street Madison, WI 53703 E

RRC Room 316 110 E. Main Street Madison, WI 53703 . 608/267-0507

STATE OF WISCONSIN

RETIREMENT RESEARCH COMMITTEE

8/15/94

| 608/267-0507 MEMBERSHIP ROSTER | Term Expires |
|--|--------------|
| LEGISLATIVE MEMBERS (6) | |
| **Senator Margaret Farrow, Senate Co-Chair; 14905 Watertown Plank Road; Elm Grove, WI 53122 | 1/1/97 |
| **Representative Mark Meyer, Assembly Co-Chair; 1428 Redfield Street; LaCrosse, WI 54601 | 1/1/97 |
| **Senator Timothy Weeden, Senate Vice-Chair; 1821 Sherwood Drive, S.W.; Beloit, WI 53511 | 1/1/97 |
| **Representative Rick Grobschmidt, Assembly Vice-Chair; 1513 Mackinac Avenue; South Milwaukee, WI 53212 | 1/1/97 |
| **Senator Joseph Andrea 2405-45th Street; Kenosha, WI 53140 | 1/1/97 |
| **Representative Marc Duff 1811 South Elm Grove Road; New Berlin, WI 53151 | 1/1/97 |
| TAXPAYER REPRESENTATIVES (4) | |
| ***Ms. Susan J. Fisher, 8644 Glencoe Circle, Wauwatosa, WI 53226 | 7/1/95 |
| *Mr. David B. Falstad; 22 Lakewood Dr.; Racine, WI 53402 | 7/1/97 |
| *Mr. Michael Mesenbourg; 5900 N. Port Washington Rd.; Milwaukee, WI 5321 | 7 7/1/95 |
| *Mr. Earl A. Lieberg, 3608 Pine Place Rd.; Eau Claire, WI 54701 | 7/1/97 |
| EMPLOYER REPRESENTATIVE | (|
| *Mayor Dolores Meyer; 612 East Perkins St; Medford, WI 54451 | 7/1/95 |
| STATE EMPLOYEE REPRESENTATIVE | |
| *Mr. Timothy J. Pelzek; 6332 West Manitoba St.; Milwaukee, WI 53219 | 7/1/97 |
| TEACHER REPRESENTATIVE NOT FROM MILWAUKEE | |
| *Mr. Ronald Jordi; 2149 County Z; Friendship, WI 53934 | 7/1/97 |
| TEACHER REPRESENTATIVE FROM MILWAUKEE | |
| *Ms. Alice Olson; 4978 West Goodrich Lane; Brown Deer, WI 53223 | 7/1/95 |
| ANNUITANT REPRESENTATIVE | |
| #Mr. Otto Schultz; 96 Kessel Court, Apt. 25, Madison, WI 53711 | 5/1/95 |
| ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL APPOINTED BY THE ATTORNEY GENERAL | |
| **Attorney Warren M. Schmidt; 123 W. Washington Ave., Madison, WI 53703 | |
| EXPERIENCED ACTUARY APPOINTED BY THE INSURANCE COMMISSIONER | |
| **Mr. David Heineck; 121 E. Wilson Street; Madison, WI 53703 | |
| SECRETARY OF THE DEPARTMENT OF EMPLOYEE TRUST FUNDS, OR DESIGNEE | |
| **Mr. David Stella, 201 E. Washington Ave.; Madison, WI 53703 | (|
| SECRETARY OF THE DEPARTMENT OF EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS, OR DESIGNEE | (|
| Ms. Jessica O'Donnell, 137 E. Wilson Street; Madison, WI 53703 | |
| | |

STATE OF WISCONSIN

RETIREMENT RESEARCH COMMITTEE

STAFF REPORT NO. 81

1994 COMPARATIVE STUDY OF

MAJOR PUBLIC EMPLOYEE RETIREMENT SYSTEMS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| <u>+ •</u> | BURY | EI OF STATEWIDE PERS | | | | |
|-------------|-----------|---|-------|----|------|----|
| | A. | Pension System Descriptions | Pages | 18 | : 2 | |
| | в. | Pension Plan Charts | Pages | 38 | : 4 | |
| <u>11.</u> | NORM | AL AND EARLY RETIREMENT PROVISIONS | | | | |
| | Α. | Age and Service Requirements | Pages | 58 | 6 | |
| | в. | Pension Plan Charts | Pages | 78 | 8 | |
| <u>III.</u> | VEST | ING AND CONTRIBUTION RATES | | | | |
| | A. | Provision Descriptions | Pages | 96 | 10 | |
| | в. | Pension Plan Charts | Pages | 11 | & 12 | 2 |
| <u>IV.</u> | RETI | REMENT BENEFIT CALCULATIONS | | | | |
| | Α. | Calculation Provisions | Pages | 13 | & 14 | Ł |
| | в. | Pension Plan Charts | Pages | 15 | & 16 | 5 |
| <u>v.</u> | POST | -RETIREMENT ADJUSTMENTS & BENEFIT TAXES | | | • | |
| | A. | COLA's and State Taxes | Pages | 17 | & 18 | \$ |
| | в. | Pension Plan Charts | Pages | 19 | & 20 |) |
| <u>vi.</u> | ACTU | ARIAL AND ACCOUNTING INFORMATION | | | | |
| | Α. | Information Descriptions | Pages | 21 | & 22 | 1 |
| | в. | Pension Plan Charts | Pages | 23 | & 24 | • |

A. Pension System Descriptions

<u>Survey.</u> Every two years since 1982, the Wisconsin Retirement Research Committee (RRC) has compared major statewide public employee retirement systems (PERS) across the country with public pension plans in Wisconsin. These surveys have emphasized retirement programs for general employees and teachers. The Wisconsin plans included in the studies are the statewide WRS and two other plans administered under homerule -- the Milwaukee City ERS and the Milwaukee County ERS.

The 1994 comparative study includes 85 public pension plans, which are the same systems that are found in previous studies since 1982. Although this study does not include all major public pension plans, it does include one or more statewide PERS from each state. Also, because the same pension plans are always included, the biennial studies may reflect trends in the public pension sector as they occur over time.

Data. The Wisconsin RRC files on major PERS across the country include annual reports, employee handbooks, statutes, actuarial reports, etc. For most of the PERS in the 1994 study, information is current through 1993. Data in this report may also reflect other sources of information published by the Public Pension Coordinating Council, the National Conference of State Legislatures, the American Association of Retired Persons, etc.

<u>Coverage</u>. The types of employees covered by the PERS in this study are designated on Chart I found on pages 3 and 4 of this report as "S"=state; "L"-local; and "T"=teachers. The 85 PERS surveyed reflect the following:

| Employee Coverage | Fund | Employee Coverage | Fund |
|----------------------|----------|----------------------|----------|
| State Employees Only | 11 funds | State and Local | 16 funds |
| Teachers Only | 27 funds | State and Teacher | 3 funds |
| Local employees Only | 8 funds | State, Local & Tchr. | 20 funds |

Participation. The 85 PERS in the 1994 study provide pension coverage for <u>9.8 million active</u> employees and <u>3.5 million</u> retirees, for a total of 13.3+ million participants. This total is 5% greater than the 12.6 million active and retired participants noted in the 1992 study. The active participants have grown between the 1992 and the 1994 studies by 3.9%, while the retirees have grown by 8.0% in the same time period.

Chart I also shows the ratio of actives to retirees for the 85 systems surveyed. For 76% of the systems, the ratio of actives to retired declined over the two-year period. The average ratio of all systems also declined from 2.95% in 1992 to 2.83 in 1994. Nine of the systems (including Milwaukee City and County) have an active to retiree ratio of less than two--50% higher than the number of plans in 1992.

E

Systems Size. The systems in the 1994 study range in size from Vermont's SRS with 7,368 actives to California's PERS with 618,000 active participants. The sizes of the systems are reflected in the following tables for the last three surveys.

| Active Employees | 1990 Survey | 1992 Survey | <u>1994 Survey</u> |
|-------------------|-------------|-------------|--------------------|
| Less than 50,000 | 35 funds | 30 funds | 28 funds |
| | 19 funds | 21 funds | 23 funds |
| 50,000 - 100,000 | 14 funds | 17 funds | 15 funds |
| 100,000 - 150,000 | 6 funds | 5 funds | 7 funds |
| 150,000 - 200,000 | 11 funds | 12 funds | 12 funds |
| Over 200,000 | II Iduab | | |

Social Security. Coverage under the federal OASDHI program was once elective for public employers, but it is now frozen for those employers who had elected such coverage. Of the 85 PERS included in the 1994 study, social security coverage is also provided for participants of 68 of the systems. Of the 17 systems which do not provide social security coverage, ten represent pension plans covering teachers only. The 17 PERS in this study without social security coverage include 2.3 million active employees, or 23% of the total actives in this survey.

Integration. "Integration" relates to the explicit recognition of social security coverage in the design of private and public pension plans. While integration is common in the private sector, it is unusual in public pension plans. Of the 68 PERS with social security in the RRC studies for 1990 and 1994, the degree and type of integration is as follows:

| | 1990 Survey | 1994 Survey |
|---|---|--|
| No integration Step-Up formula Formula offset Combined maximum | 56 funds 6 funds 4 funds 2 funds | 59 funds 6 funds 2 funds 1 fund |
| TOTALS | 68 funds | 68 funds |

Step-up formulas reflect different multipliers or contribution rates applied to varying salary levels. Formula offsets provide in the benefit calculation for an offset recognizing part of the primary social security benefit. One plan provides a maximum on benefits including both the primary social security and pension plan benefit.

Trends. Chart I reflects a continued growth in the number of participants in the PERS surveyed by about 5% every two years. Nearly all plans had a growth in number of retirees, and 79% of the plans had a growth in active participants. However, the number of retirees is growing at a faster percentage than active employees, and this is reflected in declining ratios of active to retired participants.

Because social security has been frozen by federal law, there is no change in the social security coverage for the PERS in the RRC studies. Although there was no change in the "integration" of PERS between the 1992 and 1994 studies, the explicit recognition of social security in the design of PERS appears to be gradually declining over time.

CHART I PUBLIC RETIREMENT SYSTEMS SURVEYE

| State Name Coverage Actives Annuitants Act./Annt. Cover 1. Alab. ERS S,L 68,270+ 18,686+ 3.65 Yes 2. Alab. TRS T 116,680+ 31,841+ 3.66 Yes 3. Alas. PERS* S,L 30,972+ 9,103+ 3.40 No 4. Alas. TRS* T 9,457+ 3,891+ 2.43 No 5. Ariz. SRS S.L,T 144,477+ 41,139+ 3.51 Yes | None None None None Offset - - |
|---|--|
| 2.Alab.TRST116,680+31,841+3.66Yes3.Alas.PERS*S,L30,972+9,103+3.40No4.Alas.TRS*T9,457+3,891+2.43No | None None None Offset |
| 2.Alab.TRST116,680+31,841+3.66Yes3.Alas.PERS*S,L30,972+9,103+3.40No4.Alas.TRS*T9,457+3,891+2.43No | None None None Offset |
| 3. Alas.PERS*S,L30,972+9,103+3.40No4. Alas.TRS*T9,457+3,891+2.43No | - None None Offset - - |
| 4. Alas. TRS* T 9,457+ 3,891+ 2.43 No | None None Offset - - |
| | None None Offset - - |
| | None Offset - - |
| 6. Arka. PERS S,L 39,849+ 12,889+ 3.09 Yes | Offset - - |
| 7. Arka. TRS* T 58,519+ 12,202 4.80 Yes | - |
| 8. Calif. PERS* S,L 618,000+ 295,000+ 2.09 Yes | |
| 9. Calif. TRS T 313,617+ 136,987+ 2.29 No | - |
| <u>10. Colo. PERA S,L,T 136,898+ 38,188+ 3.58 No</u> | A b b b b b b b b b b |
| 11. Conn. SERS* S 53,537- 26,399+ 2.03 Yes | Step-Up |
| 12. Conn. TRS T 38,260- 16,588+ 2.31 No | ······ ······························· |
| 13. Dela. SEPP S,T 27,390+ 12,914+ 2.12 Yes | Maximum |
| 14. Flor. FRS S,L,T 552,562+ 126,307+ 4.37 Yes | None |
| <u>15. Geor. ERS S 67,873+ 17,516+ 3.87 Yes</u> | None |
| 16. Geor. TRS T 156,243+ 32,846+ 4.76 Yes | None |
| 17. Hawaii ERS* S,L,T 57,467+ 22,387+ 2.57 Yes | None |
| 18. Idaho PERS S,L,T 52,532+ 18,283+ 2.87 Yes | None |
| 19. Ill. SERS S 77,146- 39,066+ 1.97 Yes | None |
| <u>20. Ill. TRS T 125,700+ 49,555+ 2.54 No</u> | |
| 21. Ill. MRF* L 125,943+ 54,306+ 2.32 Yes | None |
| 22. Ind. PERF S,L 136,034+ 37,802+ 3.60 Yes | None |
| 23. Ind. TRF T 67,117- 27,759+ 2.42 Yes | None |
| 24. Iowa PERS S,L,T 136,409+ 54,212+ 2.52 Yes | None |
| 25. Kans. PERS S,L,T 121,997+ 41,388+ 2.95 Yes | None |
| 26. Kent. ERS* S,L 112,959+ 31,478+ 3.59 Yes | None |
| 27. Kent. TRS T 52,842+ 21,939+ 2.41 No | - |
| 28. Louis. SERS S 68,463+ 25,287+ 2.64 No | - |
| 29. Louis. TRS T 85,143- 35,835+ 2.38 No | - |
| <u>30. Maine SRS S,L,T 42,019- 17,597- 2.39 No</u> | |
| 31. Mary. SRS* S,L,T 162,296- 59,318+ 2.74 Yes | None |
| 32. Mass. SERS S 81,073- 43,860+ 1.85 No | - |
| 33. Mass. TRS T 62,992+ 26,156+ 2.41 No | - |
| 34. Mich. SERS S 63,906- 29,175+ 2.19 Yes | None |
| <u>35. Mich. MERS* L 34,589+ 12,001+ 2.88 Yes</u> | None |
| 36. Mich. PSERS T 296,585+ 93,574+ 3.17 Yes | None |
| 37. Minn. MSRS* S 48,830- 15,067+ 3.24 Yes | None |
| 38. Minn. PERA* L 122,449+ 37,020+ 3.31 Yes | None |
| 39. Minn. TRA* T 65,268+ 20,810+ 3.14 Yes | None |
| <u>40. Miss. PERS S,L,T 135,117+ 37,887+ 3.57 Yes</u> | None |
| 41. Mou. SERS S 47,954+ 13,115+ 3.66 Yes | None |
| 42. Mou. LAGERS* L 20,580+ 5,953+ 3.46 Yes | None |
| 43. Mou. PSRS T 58,493+ 20,474+ 2.86 No | - |
| 44. Mont. PERS S,L 26,727- 11,028+ 2.42 Yes | None |
| <u>45. Mont. TRS T 17,211+ 6,899+ 2.49 Yes</u> (Coverage: S - State: L - Local: T - Teachers) | None |

(Coverage: S - State; L - Local; T - Teachers)

(Fund Name* = more than one plan or tier)

("+" or "-" = higher or lower than 1992 numbers)

<u>CHART I</u> <u>PUBLIC RETIREMENT SYSTEMS SURVEYED</u>

r

| | | Fund | Employee | Number | Number | Ratio of | <u>s.s.</u> | <u>s.s.</u> |
|------------|--------------|------------|-----------------|----------------|-------------------|------------|---------------|--|
| | <u>State</u> | Name | <u>Coverage</u> | <u>Actives</u> | <u>Annuitants</u> | Act./Annt. | <u>Cover.</u> | <u>Integration</u> |
| ۰. | | | | | | | | |
| 46. | Nebra. | SERS* | S,L | 18,644+ | 4,201+ | 4.44 | Yes | Step-Up |
| 47. | Nebra. | TRS | T, Sch. | 31,622+ | 7,339+ | 4.31 | Yes | None |
| 48. | Nevada | PERS* | S,L,T | 59,496+ | 14,436+ | 4.12 | No | · + |
| 49. | N.H. | NHRS | S,L,T | 37,886+ | 10,731+ | 3.53 | Yes | Age Offset |
| <u>50.</u> | <u>N.J.</u> | PERS* | S,L | 251,406+ | 77.056+ | 3.26 | Yes | Offset |
| 51. | N.J. | TRS* | T | 108,534+ | 38,900+ | 2.79 | Yes | Offset |
| 52. | N.M. | PERA* | S,L | 39,275+ | 11,466+ | 3.43 | Yes | None |
| 53. | N.M. | ERA | T | 52,296+ | 15,001+ | 3.49 | Yes | None |
| 54. | N.Y. | ERS* | S,L | 510,277- | 258,294+ | 1.98 | Yes | None |
| <u>55.</u> | <u>N.Y.</u> | TRS* | T | 192,891- | 79,268+ | 2.43 | Yes | None |
| 56. | N.C. | TSERS | S,T | 235,838+ | 74,623+ | 3.16 | Yes | None |
| 57. | N.C. | LGERS | L | 92,643- | 19,075+ | 4.86 | Yes | None |
| 58. | N.D. | PERS | S,L | 14,851+ | 3,194+ | 4.65 | Yes | None |
| 59. | N.D. | TRF | T | 9,808+ | 4,317+ | 2.27 | Yes | None |
| <u>60.</u> | Ohio | PERS | S,L | 349,674+ | 109,973+ | 3.18 | NO | - |
| 61. | Ohio | STRS | т | 165,711+ | 74,230+ | 2.23 | No | - None |
| 62. | Okla. | PERS | S,L | 45,622+ | 15,903+ | 2.87 | Yes | None |
| 63. | Okla. | TRS | T | 75,599+ | 27,003+ | 2.80 | Yes | None |
| 64. | Oreg. | PERS | S,L,T | 139,927+ | 59,593+ | 2.35 | Yes | None |
| 5. | Penns. | SERS | S | 111,962- | 80,690+ | 1.39 | Yes | None |
| 66. | Penns. | PSERS | T, Sch. | 197,947- | 113,212+ | 1.75 | Yes | None |
| 67. | R.I. | ERS | S,T | 26,170+ | 13,400+ | 1.95 | Yes | None |
| 68. | s.c. | SCRS* | S,L,T | 171,546+ | 45,955+ | 3.73 | Yes | None |
| 69. | S.D. | SRS | S,L,T | 32,512+ | 11,548+ | 2.81 | Yes | None |
| <u>70.</u> | Tenn. | CRS | S,L,T | 166,443+ | 58,268+ | 2.86 | Yes | Step-Up |
| 71. | Texas | ERS | S | 145,506+ | 28,369+ | 5.13 | Yes | None |
| 72. | Texas | TRS | T | 575,088+ | 136,491 | 4.21 | No | None |
| 73. | Texas | MRS* | L | 72,161+ | 11,954+ | 6.04 | Yes | None |
| 74. | Utah | SRS* | S,L,T | 86,799+ | 21,083+ | 4.12 | Yes | None |
| <u>75.</u> | Verm. | SRS* | S | 7,368- | 2,762+ | 2.67 | Yes | None |
| 76. | Verm. | TRS* | T | 9,777+ | 2,799+ | 3.49 | Yes | None |
| 77. | Virg. | SRS* | S,L,T | 259,086+ | 71,200- | 3.64 | Yes | Step-Up |
| 78. | Wash. | PERS | S,L | 171,947+ | 51,008+ | 3.37 | Yes | None |
| 79. | Wash. | TRS | Т | 52,277+ | 23,584+ | 2.34 | Yes | None |
| 80. | W.V. | PERS | S,L | 30,767+ | 16,023+ | 1.92 | Yes | None |
| 81. | w.v. | TRS* | Т | 45,560+ | 22,059- | 2.07 | Yes | |
| 82. | Wyom. | WRS* | S,L,T | 31,599- | 10,193+ | 3.10 | Yes | None |
| 83. | Milw. | City | L | 13,708+ | 8,301+ | 1.65 | Yes | None |
| 84. | Milw. | County* | L | 7,864+ | 5,780+ | 1.36 | Yes | None |
| 85. | Wis. | WRS | S,L,T | 227,078+ | 83,836+ | 2.71 | Yes | None |
| | | | | Actives | Annuitants | Ratio | | ······································ |
| 1994 | Totals: | (85 Funds) | - | 9,816,610+ | 3,464,845+ | 2.83- | | |
| | | | | • • | | | | |

II. NORMAL AND EARLY RETIREMENT PROVISIONS

A. Age and Service Requirements

Normal Retirement. Nearly all of the PERS in this study are defined benefit plans in which the benefits are calculated by a formula and payable when the normal retirement requirements have been met. Most PERS require a minimum age and/or years of service in order to qualify for normal retirement -- i.e., benefits payable without actuarial discount. Actually, most PERS in this study have adopted multiple combinations of age and service that qualify for full benefits without actuarial discount. These requirements are reflected in Chart II found on pages 7 and 8 of this report.

Social Security Normal. The normal retirement age under social security is 65, but this age is scheduled to increase to 66 and 67 over time. All of the PERS in the 1994 study allow normal retirement at 65 or earlier with some minimum years of service. The three Minnesota PERS are the only plans in this study which provide that normal retirement age shall keep pace with the social security normal retirement age as it gradually increases in the future to age 67 by 2027.

Age 62 Normal. Age 62 is the earliest age at which social security benefits are payable, but with a 20% actuarial discount reflecting the longer pay-out period. The 1994 survey reflects that 52 of the 85 systems permit normal retirement at 62 with 10 or less years of service. Actually, 76 of the PERS in this study permit normal retirement at age 62 or earlier, with long service, and only 9 systems are restricted to the age 65 normal retirement now found under social security. In fact, the most common normal retirement of the PERS in the 1994 study is age 60 with "N" years of service.

"X" Years and Out. Many public retirement systems have adopted "X years and out" provisions which allow participants to retire at any age (or a minimum age of 55) after "X" years of service. The most common provision is age 55 or earlier with 30 years of service. The number of plans with "X years and out" provisions has not changed substantially over the last four years, as noted in the following summary:

| | 1990 Survey | <u>1994 Survey</u> |
|------------------------|-------------|--------------------|
| 35 years/55 or any age | 8 plans | 7 plans |
| 30 years/55 or any age | 35 plans | 33 plans |
| 28 years/55 or any age | 2 plans | 2 plans |
| 27 years/55 or any age | 1 plan | 2 plans |
| 25 years/55 or any age | 9 plans | 13 plans |
| 20 years/55 or any age | 1 plan | 1 plan |
| TOTALS* | 56 plans | 58 plans |

(*Some plans have more than on "X years and out" provision)

"Rule of Y". In addition to the "X years and out" provisions, some PERS in the study have adopted a "rule" which permits normal retirement when age plus years of service equals a specified number. The rule provisions have changed little over the last four years, as noted in the following summary.

| Rule of 92 Rule of 90 Rule of 88 | <u>1990 Survey</u> 1 plan 2 plans 0 plans | <u>1994 Survey</u> 1 plan 3 plans 1 plan |
|--|--|---|
| Rule of 85 | 4 plans | 3 plans |
| Rule of 80 | 2 plans | 2 plans |
| Rule of 75 | <u>1 plan</u> | <u>1 plan</u> |
| TOTAL | 10 plans | 11 plans |

Early Retirement. Ninety percent of the PERS in the 1994 study permit retirement before the normal age and service requirements have been met, but subject to actuarial discount. The most common age for allowing early retirement is age 55 with some minimum service, followed by age 50. There has been little change in the last four comparative studies relative to early retirement. Eight systems do not provide early retirement presumably because their normal retirement is already at 55 or lower with long service.

Actuarial Discount. The actuarial discount applied for early retirement presumably compensates for some or all of the longer pay-out period. Some systems use a reduction table based upon age which reflects the "actuarial adjustment" that is required to compensate the system for the longer pay-out period. Most PERS, however, use a specified percent reduction for each year under normal.

The actuarial discount requirements have undergone little change over the last four years as noted in the following summary:

| | <u>1990 Survey 1994 Surv</u> | ey |
|--|------------------------------|----|
| - PERS using discount rates less than 3% | 1 fund 0 funds | |
| - PERS using discount rates of 3% to 5.9% | 21 funds 23 funds | |
| - PERS using discount rates of 6% or more | 21 funds 18 funds | |
| - PERS that vary discount rate on serv. or age | 16 funds 13 funds | |
| - PERS that use an actuarial discount table | 14 funds 17 funds | |
| - PERS that change formula multiplier by age | 4 funds 4 funds | |
| - PERS that are money purchase | 1 fund 2 funds | |
| - PERS that do not provide early retirement | 7 funds 8 funds | |

Trends. The 1994 study indicates a stabilizing of the trend in the public sector to permit normal retirement at earlier ages -particularly for career employees with long service. In the 1994 study, 4 funds reduced their normal retirement provisions and 2 funds increased their requirements. This is less change than the 17 plans which reduced requirements in the 1990 study.

Sixteen PERS in this study also initiated retirement incentive windows (W) of various designs and lengths during the two-year period. This presumably reflects efforts by state and local government to downsize their payrolls. The use of periodic windows may also reduce the pressure for permanent reductions in . normal retirement requirements. Those entities that have studied the effectiveness of these windows report mixed results.

CHART II NORMAL AND EARLY RETIREMENT REQUIREMENTS

| | | Fund | <u>EE</u> | Normal Retirement | Early Retirement | Actuarial |
|-------------|--------------|---------|-----------|--------------------------|------------------|------------------------|
| | <u>State</u> | Name | Coverage | Provisions (Age/Yrs.) | Provisions | Discount |
| | | | | | | |
| 1. | Alab. | ERS | S,L | 60/10; A/30; A/25 Option | n None | - |
| 2. | Alab. | TRS | т | 60/10; A/25 | None | - |
| з. | Alas. | PERS | S,L | 60/5; A/30 | 55/5 | Act. Table |
| 4. | Alas. | TRS | Т | 60/8; A/20 | 55/8 | Act. Table |
| 5. | Ariz. | SRS | S,L,T | 65, 62/10; R-80 | 50/5 | Act. Table |
| 6. | Arkan. | PERS | S,L | 65/10; A/30 | 55/10 | 6% @ year |
| 7. | Arkan. | TRS | T | 60/10; A/30 | A/25 | 5% @ year |
| 8. | Calif. | PERS | S,L | 60/5 | 50/5 | Multiplier Varies |
| 9. | Calif. | TRS | т | 60/5 | 55/5; 50/30 | 6%/3% @ year |
| 10. | Colo. | PERA | S,L,T | 65/5; 60/20; 55/30; A/35 | 60/5; 55/20 | 4% @ year |
| 11. | Conn. | SERS | S | 62/10; 60/25; 70/5 | 55/10 | 3% @ year |
| 12. | Conn. | TRS | Т | 60/20; A/35 | A/25; 55/20 | 6%/4% @ year |
| 13. | Dela. | SEPP | S,T | 62/5; 60/15; A/30 | 55/15; A/25 | 4.8% @ year |
| 14. | Flor. | FRS | S,L,T | 62/10; A/30 | A/10 | 5% @ year |
| <u> 15.</u> | Geor. | ERS | S | 65/10; A/30 | 60/10 | 5% @ year |
| 16. | Geor. | TRS | T | 60/10; A/30 | 55/25 | 7% @ year |
| 17. | Hawaii | ERS | S,L,T | 62/10; 55/30 | 55/20 | 6% @ year |
| 18. | Idaho | ERS | S,L,T | 65/5; R-90 | 55/5 | 3%/6.5% @ <u>y</u> ear |
| 19. | I 11. | SERS | S | 60/8; A/35 (W) | 55/30 | 6% @ year 🛃 |
| 20. | <u>111.</u> | TRS | T | 62/5; 60/10; 55/35 (W) | 55/20 | 6% @ year |
| 21. | I11. | MRF | L | 60/8; A/35 (W) | 55/8 | 3% @ year |
| 22. | Ind. | PERF | S,L | 65/10 | 50/15 | 1.2%/5% @ year |
| 23. | Ind. | TRF | Т | 65/10; 60/15; R-85 | 50/15 | 1.2%/5% @ year |
| 24. | Iowa | PERS | S,L,T | 65/4; R-92; 62/30 | 55/4 | 3% @ year |
| <u>25.</u> | Kans. | PERS | S,L,T | 65, 62/10; R-85 | 55/10 | 2.4%/7.2% @ year |
| 26. | Kent. | ERS | S,L | 65/4; A/27 | 55/5; A/25 | 5%/4% @ year |
| 27. | Kent. | TRS | Т | 60/5; A/27 | 55/5 | 5% @ year |
| 28. | Louis. | SERS | S | 60/10; 55/25; A/30 | 50/10 | Act. Table |
| 29. | Louis. | TRS | T | 65/20; A/30; 55/25 | 60/10; A/20 | Multiplier Varies |
| 30. | Maine | SRS | S,L,T | 62/10 | A/25; 60/10 | 6% @ year |
| 31. | Mary. | SRS | S,L,T | 65/2 to 62/5; A/30 | 55/15 | 6% @ year |
| 32. | Mass. | SERS | S,L | 65/10 | 55/10; A/20 | Multiplier Varies |
| 33. | Mass. | TRS | T | 65/10 | 55/10; A/20 | Multiplier Varies |
| 34. | Mich. | SERS | S | 60/10; 55/30; (W) | 55/15 | 6% @ year |
| <u>35.</u> | Mich. | MERS | L | 60/10 | 55/15; 50/25 | 6% @ year |
| 36. | Mich. | PSERS | Т | 60/10; A/30 | 55/15 | 6% @ year |
| 37. | Minn. | MSRS | S | Soc. Sec. Normal (W) | 55/3 | Act. Table |
| 38. | Minn. | PERS | L | Soc. Sec. Normal (W) | 55/3 | 6% @ year |
| 39. | Minn. | TRA | T | Soc. Sec. Normal (W) | 55/3 | Act. Table |
| <u>40.</u> | Miss. | PERA | S,L,T | 60/4; A/25 | None | - |
| 41. | Mou. | SERS | S | 65/4; 60/15; 55/30 | 55/10 | Act. Table |
| 42. | Mou. | LAGERS | L | 60/5; R-80 option | 55/5 | 6% @ year |
| 43. | Mou. | PSRS | T | 60/5; A/30; 55/25 | A/25; 55/5 | Act. Table |
| 44. | Mont. | PERS | S,L | 65/A; 60/5; A/30 | 50/5; A/25 | Act. Table |
| <u>45.</u> | Mont. | TRS | T | 60/5; A/25 | 50/5 | <u>6%;3.6% @ year</u> |
| | | (W) - 7 | | Retirement Incentive Wil | ndow | |

(W) = Temporary Retirement Incentive Window

(x/y) = Age/Service

<u>CHART II</u> NORMAL AND EARLY RETIREMENT REQUIREMENTS

| | | Fund | EE | Normal Retirement | Early Retirement | Actuarial |
|---------------------------------------|-------------|--------|------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------|
| | State | Name | Coverage | Provisions (Age/Years) | Provisions | Discount |
| | | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| 46. | Nebr. | SERS | S | 65/A | 55/5 | Money Purchase |
| 47. | Nebr. | TRS | T, Sch | 65/5; 60/R-90 | 60/5; A/35 | 3% @ year |
| 48. | Nevada | PERS | S,L,T | 65/5; 60/10; A/30 | A/5; | 4% @ year |
| 49. | N.H. | NHRS | S,L,T | 60/A | 50/10; A/20;R-70 | Vary by Service |
| <u>50.</u> | <u>N.J.</u> | PERS | S,L | 60/A; 55/25 (W) | A/25 | <u>3% @ year</u> |
| 51. | N.J. | TRS | T, | 60/A; 55/25 (W) | A/25 | 3% @ year |
| 52. | N.M. | PERA | S,L | 65/5 to 61/17; 60/20; A/2 | 25 None | |
| 53. | N.M. | ERA | T | 65/5; A/25; 60/R75 | A/5 | 2.4%/7.2% @ year |
| 54. | N.Y. | ERS | S,L | 62/10 (W) | None | - |
| | <u>N.Y.</u> | TRS | T | 62/10; 55/30; 70/5 | 55/10 | Act. Table |
| 56. | N.C. | TSERS | S,T | 65/5; 60/25; A/30 | 60/5; 50/20 | 3% @ year |
| 57. | N.C. | LGERS | L | 65/5; 60/25; A/30 | 60/5; 50/20 | 3% @ year |
| 58. | N.D. | PERS | S,L | 65/A; R-88 | 55/5 | 6% @ year |
| 59. | N.D. | TRF | T | 65/5; R-85 | 55/5 | 6% @ year |
| <u>60.</u> | <u>Ohio</u> | PERS | <u>S,L</u> | 60/5; A/30; (W-option) | 55/25 | 3% @ year |
| 61. | Ohio | STRS | T | 65/5; A/30; (W-option) | 55/25; 60/5 | 3% @ year |
| 62. | Okla. | PERS | S,L | 62/6; R-90 | 55/10 | Act. Table |
| 63. | Okla. | TRS | T | 62/10; R-90 | 55/10; A/30 | Act. Table |
| 64. | Oreg. | PERS | S,L,T | 58/5; A/30 | 55/5 | 8% @ year |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Penn. | SERS | <u>S</u> | 60/3; A/35; (W) | A/10 | Act. Table |
|)6. | Penn. | PSERS | | 62; 60/30; A/35; (W) | A/10; 55/25 | 6%/3% @ year |
| 67. | R.I. | ERS | S,T | 60/10; A/28 | None | - |
| 68. | s.c. | SCRS | S,L,T | 65/5; A/30 | 55/25; 60/5 | 5%/4% @ year |
| 69. | S.D. | SRS | S,L,T | 65/5; R-85 if 55 | 55/5 | 3% @ year |
| <u>70.</u> | Tenn. | CRS | S,L,T | 60/5; A/30 | A/25; 55/A | 3.6% @ year |
| 71. | Texas | ERS | S | 60/5; 55/25; 50/30 | None | — |
| 72. | Texas | TRS | T | 65/5; 60/20; 55/30 | 55/5; A/30 | Act. Table |
| 73. | Texas | MRS | L | 60/10; 50/25; A/28 | None | - |
| 74. | Utah | SRS | S,L,T | 65/4; A/30 | A/25;60/20;62/10 | - |
| <u>75.</u> | Vert. | SRS | <u>S</u> | 62/5 (A/30 after 1994) | 55/5 | 6% @ year |
| 76. | Vert. | TRS | T | 62/10; A/30 | 55/10. | 6% @ year |
| .77. | Virg. | SRS | S,L,T | 65/5; 55/30 | 55/5 | 6%/4.8% @ year |
| 78. | Wash. | PERS | S,L | 65/5 (W) | 55/20 | Act. Table |
| 79. | Wash. | TRS | T | 65/5 (W) | 55/20 | Act. Table |
| 80. | | PERS | | 60/5; 55/25 | 55/10; A/20 | <u>6% @ year</u> |
| 81. | W.V. | TRS | T | 55/10 | - | Money Purchase |
| 82. | Wyom. | WRS | S,L,T | 60/4; R-85 | 50/4 | 5% @ year |
| 83. | Milw. | City | L | 60/4 | 55/15 | Act. Table |
| 84. | Milw. | County | | 60/A; 55/30;R-75 | 55/15 | 5% @ year |
| 85. | Wis. | WRS | S,L,T | 65; 57/30 | 55/5 | Vary by Service |

(W) = Temporary Retirement Incentive Window.

(x/y) = Age/Service

III. VESTING AND CONTRIBUTION RATES

A. Provision Descriptions

vesting. The term "vesting" as used in this study relates to an employee's right, after satisfying some minimum service requirement, to receive a pension benefit regardless of whether the employe remains in covered employment. The vesting requirements for the PERS included in the 1994 study are found in Chart III on pages 11 and 12. The changes in requirements for vesting over a four-year period can be summarized as follows:

| | <u>1990 Survey</u> | <u>1994 Survey</u> |
|------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Vesting after 3 years | 3 plans | 3 plans |
| Vesting after 4 years | 5 plans | 5 plans |
| Vesting after 5 years | 35 plans | 38 plans |
| Vesting after 8 years | 3 plans | 4 plans |
| Vesting after 10 years | 35 plans | 31 plans |
| Vesting after 20 years | 1 plan | O plans |
| Graded or varying | <u>3 plans</u> | <u>4 plans</u> |
| TOTAL | 85 plans | 85 plans |

As noted, there is a slow trend towards reducing the number of years of service in order to vest a pension benefit. About 53% of the PERS require five or less years of service to vest. The trend appears to be towards five-year vesting or shorter, perhaps reflecting federal vesting requirements that apply to private sector pension plans. Nevertheless, vesting is one of the few areas that the public sector is more conservative than the private sector. Nearly forty percent still require 10 or more yeas to vest.

Employee Contributions. Major corporations usually provide pension plans that are non-contributory relative to their primary plan, but often also provide a supplemental profit-sharing or savings plan which permits employee contributions with some employer matching. In contrast, most public employee pension plans require employee contributions for the primary pension, and any secondary savings plan such as a 457 deferred compensation plan is usually funded only from employee contributions.

The 1994 study of employee contribution requirements expressed as a percent of payroll is found in Chart III, and the requirements may be summarized and compared with the 1990 survey as follows:

| Employee Contributions | 1990 Survey | 1994 Survey |
|---|---|---|
| Employee rate of 0 - 5% Employee rate over 5% Rate varies by age or group Plan is non-contributory | 25 plans 43 plans 5 plans 12 plans | 29 plans 37 plans 8 plans 11 plans |
| TOTAL | 85 plans | 85 plans |

This summary reflects little change over the four years in PERS that are non-contributory -- i.e., the plan is by design financed only by employer contributions in a manner similar to the private sector. This may indicate that "pick-up" arrangements achieve most of the advantages of adopting non-contributory status.

Employer "Pick-UP". One of the strongest trends reflected in recent RRC studies is the adoption of Internal Revenue Code 414(h) provisions. Under this IRC authorization, employers may "pick-up" the employee contributions presumably in lieu of a salary increase, or employees may continue to make contributions but on a tax-sheltered basis. Under both approaches, take-home pay is greater because of federal/state tax-sheltering.

The 1986 comparative study noted that 37 PERS had adopted 414(h) provisions. The current study reflects that 69 of the 74 contributory systems have adopted 414(h) provisions, presumably reflecting perceived advantages of tax-sheltering by both employees and employers. The 1994 study also attempts to differentiate the "pick-up" plans as to whether payments are actually made by the employer or employee.

Employer Contributions. The employer contribution information found in Chart III is perhaps of less reliability than other information found in this report. Employer contributions are often stated in annual reports as dollars rather than as a percent of payroll. Also, employer costs often vary significantly from year to year and from one covered group to another. In addition, employer costs may be paid from several sources such as school districts and the state, or from several separate appropriations. Lastly employer costs are often designated under several categories reflecting normal cost, amortization, administrative costs, unfunded post-retirement increases, etc.

The employer contribution information found in Chart III presumably reflects actual contributions made by the employer. Some of the PERS in this study received employer contributions at rates less than those determined by actuarial valuation as necessary to fully fund accruing benefits and to amortize unfunded accrued liabilities over some specified time period (noted by **).

Trends. The slow trend in vesting is towards five years or shorter, although nearly 40% of the plans still require 10 or more years to vest. Employee contribution rates have been relatively stable over the two-year period from 1992-94. Most of the contributory plans have adopted IRC 414(h) provisions to give the advantages of tax-sheltering.

Employer contribution rates were reduced in the 1992-94 period in 35% of the plans, but increased in 25% of the plans. These fluctuations probably reflect numerous changes in economic actuarial assumptions that are noted in Section VI of this report, employer contribution delays or "holidays" in some plans, and benefit changes in others.

CHART III CONTRIBUTION & VESTING REQUIREMENT

| | | 1 | <u>Soc.</u> | Employee | IRC | Total Employer | Vesting | |
|-----------------|--------|---------|-------------|---------------------|---------|--------------------|------------|-----------|
| | State | Fund | Sec. | Contribution | 414 (h) | Contribution | Period | |
| | Scace | <u></u> | | <u></u> | | | | |
| 1. | Alab. | ERS | Yes | 5.0% | *ER | 6.0% | 10 yrs. | |
| 2. | Alab. | TRS | Yes | 5.0% | *ER | 6.31% | 10 yrs. | |
| 3. | Alas. | PERS | No | 6.75% | *EE | 13.72% | 5 yrs. | |
| 4. | Alas. | TRS | No | 8.65% | *EE | 15.5% | 8 yrs. | |
| <u>5</u> . | Ariz. | SRS | Yes | 3.14% | *ER | 3.28%** | 5 yrs. | - |
| <u>5.</u> 6. | Arka. | PERS | Yes | Non-Contributory | | 10%/6% | 10 yrs. | |
| 7. | Arka. | TRS | Yes | Non-Contributory | - | 12% | 10 yrs. | |
| 8. | Calif. | PERS | Yes | Non-Contributory/5% | _ | 9.93%/Vary | 10/5 yrs | |
| 9. | Calif. | TRS | No | 8.0% | *ER | 9.17% | 5 yrs. | |
| 10. | Colo. | PERA | No | 8.0% | *EE | 10.6%/11.6% | 5 yrs. | _ |
| 11. | Conn. | SERS | Yes | Non-Contributory | | 15.46% | 10 yrs. | |
| 12. | Conn. | TRS | No | 7.0% | * | 7.5%** | 10 yrs. | |
| 13. | Dela. | SEPP | Yes | 2%-3% (split) | *EE | 6.09% | 5 yrs. | |
| 14. | Flor. | FRS | Yes | Non-Contributory | _ | 16.97% | 10 yrs. | |
| <u>15.</u> | Geor. | ERS | Yes | 4%-6% (split) | *ER | 9.21% | 10 yrs. | _ |
| 16. | Geor. | TRS | Yes | 6.0% | *EE | 11.81% | 10 yrs. | - |
| 17. | Hawaii | ERS | Yes | Non-Contributory | - | 17.89% | 10 yrs. | |
| 18. | Idaho | ERS | Yes | 6.38% | *EE | 10.6% | 5 yrs. | |
| <u>,</u> 19. | I11. | SERS | Yes | 48 | *ER | 4.87% | 8 yrs. | |
| 20. | III. | TRS | No | 8% | *ER | 14.65% | 5 yrs. | |
| 21. | I11. | MRF | Yes | 4.5% | *EE | 10.58% | 8 yrs. | |
| 22. | Ind. | PERF | Yes | 3% | *ER | 6.5% | 10 yrs. | - Carrier |
| 23. | Ind. | TRF | Yes | 3% | *EE | 15.69% | 10 yrs. | |
| 24. | Iowa | PERS | Yes | 3.7% (\$35,000) | * | 5.75% (\$35,000) | 4 yrs. | |
| 25. | Kans. | PERS | Yes | 48 | *ER | 3.2% | 10 yrs | _ |
| 26. | Kent. | ERS | Yes | 5% | *ER | 7.65%/8.82%** | 5 yrs. | |
| 27. | Kent. | TRS | No | 9.855% | *ER | 13.105% | 5 yrs. | |
| 28. | Louis. | SERS | No | 7.5% | *EE | 11.9% | 10 yrs. | |
| 29. | Louis. | TRS | No | 7.97% | *ER | 16.4% | 10 yrs. | |
| 30. | Maine | SRS | No | 6.5% | *ER | 16.4% | 10 yrs. | _ |
| 31. | Mary. | SRS | Yes | 5% wages over S.S. | * | 13.89% | 5 yrs. | |
| 32. | Mass. | SERS | No | 8% | ? | 16.0% | 10 yrs. | |
| 33. | Mass. | TRS | No | 8% | ? | 16.0% | 10 yrs. | |
| 34. | Mich. | SERS | Yes | Non-Contributory | _ | 10.93% | 10 yrs. | |
| <u>35.</u> | Mich. | MERS | Yes | Varies by Plan | * | Varies by Plan | 6-10 elect | ted |
| 36. | Mich. | PSERS | Yes | 3.9% Average | *ER | 8.75%** | 10 yrs. | |
| 37. | Minn. | MSRS | Yes | 4.07% | *EE | 4.20% | 3 yrs. | |
| 38. | Minn. | PERA | Yes | 4.23% | *EE | 4.48% | 3 yrs. | |
| 39. | Minn. | TRA | Yes | 4.52% | *EE | 8.16% | 3 yrs. | |
| 40. | Miss. | PERS | Yes | 7.25% | *EE | 9.75% | 4 yrs. | |
| 41. | MOU. | SERS | Yes | Non-Contributory | | 9.04% | 5 years | _ |
| 41. 42. | Mou. | LAGERS | Yes | 0% to 4% | *ER | Varies by Plan | 5 years | |
| 42. | Mou. | PSRS | No | 10% | *EE | 10% | 5 years | • |
| 43. 44. | | PERS | Yes | 6.7% | *EE | 6.7% | 5 years | |
| | Mont. | | | 7.044% | *EE | 7.459* | 5 years | |
| <u>45.</u> | Mont. | TRS | Yes | / | | FR - orplover paid | | |

(* = IRC 414 (h)(2) provisions: EE = employee paid; ER = employer paid)

(**= Less than actuarially determined rate or postponed contribution)

(%/% = state vs. local rates)

CHART III

CONTRIBUTION & VESTING REQUIREMENT

| | | | Soc. | Employee | IRC | Total Employer | Vesting |
|------------|--------------|--------|------|---------------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | <u>State</u> | Fund | Sec. | Contribution | <u>414 (h)</u> | Contributions | Period |
| | | | | | | | |
| 46. | Nebra. | SERS | Yes | 3.6%-4.8% (split) | *EE | 156% of EE Rate | 5 years |
| 47. | Nebra. | TERS | Yes | 7.73% | *EE | 7.81% | 5 years |
| 48. | Nevada | PERS | No | Non-Contributory | - | 18.39% | 5 years |
| 49. | N.H. | NHRS | Yes | 5% | *EE | 2.65% | 10 years |
| 50. | <u>N.J.</u> | PERS | Yes | Varies by Entry Age | *EE | .97% | 10 years |
| 51. | N.J. | TRS | Yes | Varies by Entry Age | *EE | .96% | 10 years |
| 52. | N.M. | PERA | Yes | 6.18%/9.15% | *ER | 13.83%/9.15% | 5 years |
| 53. | N.M. | ERA | Yes | 7.6% | *ER | 8.65% | 5 years |
| 54. | N.Y. | ERS | Yes | 3% | *EE | None** | 10 years |
| <u>55.</u> | N.Y. | TRS | Yes | 3% | *EE | 8.41% | 10 years |
| 56. | N.C. | TSERS | Yes | 6% | * EE | 8.38% | 5 years |
| 57. | N.C. | LGERS | Yes | 6% | *EE | 4.63% + UAAL | 5 years |
| 58. | N.D. | PERS | Yes | 48 | *ER | 4.12% | 5 years |
| 59. | N.D. | TRF | Yes | 6.75% | *ER/EE | 6.75% | 5 years |
| <u>60.</u> | Ohio | PERS | No | 8.5% | *ER | 13.31%/13.55% | <u>5 years</u> |
| 61. | Ohio | STRS | No | 9.3% | *ER | 14.0% | 5 years |
| 62. | Okla. | PERS | Yes | 2%/10% (split) | *ER | 11.5% | 8 years |
| 63. | Okla. | TRS | Yes | 6%/11% (split) | *ER | 7%★★ | 10 years |
|)4. | Oreg. | PERS | Yes | 6% | *ER | 8.08% | 5 y ears |
| <u>65.</u> | Penn. | SERS | Yes | 5.0% | *EE | 8.92% | 10 years |
| 66. | Penn. | PSERS | Yes | 5.25%/6.25% | *EE | 11.06% | 10 years |
| 67. | R.I. | ERS | Yes | 7.75%/8.5% | *ER | 11.32%/16.02% | 10 years |
| 68. | s.c. | SCRS | Yes | 6% | *ee | 7.70% | 5 years |
| 69. | S.D. | SRS | Yes | 5% | *EE | 5% | 5 years |
| <u>70.</u> | Tenn. | CRS | Yes | Non-Contributory | - | 6.33%/8.51% | 5 years |
| 71. | Texas | ERS | Yes | 6% | *EE | 6.45% | 5 years |
| 72. | Texas | TRS | No | 6.4% | *ER | 7.31% | 5 years |
| 73. | Texas | MRS | Yes | 5% to 7% | * | 6% to 6.99% | 10-20 elected |
| 74. | Utah | SRS | Yes | Non-Contributory | - | 13.0% | 4 years |
| <u>75.</u> | Vert. | SRS | Yes | 1.65% | ? | 9.86% | 5 years |
| 76. | Vert. | TRS | Yes | 3.73% | ? | 5.60% | 10 years |
| 77. | Virg. | SRS | Yes | 5% | *ER | 4.75%/6.86% | 5 years |
| 78. | Wash. | PERS | Yes | 5.2% | *EE | 5.2% | 5 years |
| 79. | Wash. | TRS | Yes | 6.5% | *EE | 6.5% | 5 years |
| 80. | W.V. | PERS | Yes | 4.5% | *ER | 9.5% | <u>5 years</u> |
| 81. | W.V. | TRS | Yes | 4.5% | - | 7.5% | 6/9/12 yrs. |
| 82. | Wyom. | WRS | Yes | 5.57% | *ER | 5.68% | 4 years |
| 83. | Milw. | City | Yes | 5.5% | *ER | 1.12% | 4 years |
| 84. | Milw. | County | Yes | Non-Contributory | - | 7.3% | 10 years |
| 85. | Wis. | WRS | Yes | 6.2% | *ER | 6.1% | 5 years |

(* = IRC 414 (h)(2) provisions: EE = employee paid; ER - employer paid)
(**= Less than actuarially determined rate or postponed contribution)
(%/% = state vs. local rates)

IV. RETIREMENT BENEFIT CALCULATIONS

A. Calculation Provisions

Benefit Formulas. Chart IV on pages 15 and 16 is intended to reflect current benefit formulas of the PERS surveyed. Also, the formulas represent those applying to general employees and teachers, and may not apply to elected officials, protective employees and others who may have higher benefits, earlier normal retirement, etc. As Chart IV indicates, 82 of the PERS are defined benefit plans in which benefits are calculated by one or more formulas of -

- Multiplier x years of service x final average salary (FAS)

The multiplier indicates a percentage of FAS that is earned for each year of service. The FAS (final average salary), is the average rate of salary for a specified time period expressed in months or years.

Basic. Members of 17 of the PERS (*before the formula) do not have social security coverage for their public employment. Such systems presumably have a higher formula to reflect the lack of social security coverage, and the 17 systems have multipliers ranging between 2% and 2.5% accrual for each year of service. The average multiplier for career employees (30 years) in the 17 plans is 2.23% @ year.

<u>Coordinated.</u> Members of 68 PERS in the study also receive social security coverage for their public employment. These coordinated plans reflect a wide range of multipliers, which may also vary between the various decades of service, or by specific effective dates or by the age at retirement. The formulas noted on Chart IV may be summarized as follows, assuming a career employee with 30 years of service and FAS of about \$40,000:

| <u>Formula Multiplier</u> | 1992 Survey | <u>1994 Survey</u> |
|---------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| 1.1% to 1.3% | 5 plans | 5 plans |
| 1.3+% to 1.5% | 9 plans | 7 plans |
| 1.5+% to 1.7% | 19 plans | 16 plans |
| 1.7+% to 1.9% | 6 plans | 9 plans |
| 1.9+% to 2.1% | 19 plans | 20 plans |
| 2.1+% | 4 plans | 4 plans |
| Employer Plan Election | 2 plans | 2 plans |
| Money Purchase | <u>4 plans*</u> | 5 plans* |
| TOTAL | 68 plans | 68 plans |

(*includes two Indiana plans which provide an employer-paid formula pension plus an employee-funded money purchase annuity. W.V. TRS was added in the 1994 survey.)

The gradual trend during the 1980's to improve benefit formulas appears to be continuing into the 1990's. The 1994 study indicates that one plan reduced benefits and 11 plans increased their formulas on average by 0.2%. All changes were in coordinated plans, and the current average multiplier of the 68 coordinated plans is about 1.8%.

FAS. Most of the PERS surveyed provide that benefits shall be based upon a final average salary (FAS) representing the highest earnings over a specified number of years or months. The FAS periods used by the PERS surveyed may be summarized and compared to the 1990 survey as follows:

| FAS Period | 1990 Survey | <u>1994 Survey</u> |
|----------------------|-------------|--------------------|
| 2-year FAS Period | 2 plans | 2 plans |
| 3-year FAS Period | 55 plans | 55 plans |
| 4-year FAS Period | 7 plans | 8 plans |
| 5-year FAS Period | 19 plans | 18 plans |
| Money Purchase Plans | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| Total | 85 plans | 85 plans |

Fifteen of the 85 PERS place some type of cap on earnings that may be included in the FAS calculations. These caps may reflect a limitation on gross earnings or on annual salary increases that may be considered during the FAS period.

Benefit Limitations. Chart IV also notes that several of the PERS have established a limit on pension benefits which may be expressed as a percent of FAS, or as a maximum number of creditable years or salary levels. On the other hand, the majority of plans surveyed provide no maximum limitation, but such plans are subject to the limits established by IRC Section 415 -- i.e., 100% of defined compensation.

| | 1990 Survey | 1994 Survey |
|--------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| No Specified Limitation* | 51 plans | 49 plans |
| FAS Limit at 100% | 6 plans | 14 plans |
| FAS Limit under 100% | 21 plans | 17 plans |
| Salary Maximum | 4 plans | 3 plans |
| Service Credit Cap | <u> </u> | 2 plans |
| - | 85 plans | 85 plans |

(*Subject to federal IRC, Section 415)

Trends. The FAS periods for the formula plans appear to have stabilized reflecting little change between 1990-94. Benefit limitations appear to be moving toward the maximum limits allowed under IRC Section 415 -- 100% of "compensation".

The trend to improve formula benefits is continuing into the 1990's in spite of government budgeting problems. These improvements continue to narrow the difference in benefits between basic and coordinated plans.

Although the advantages and disadvantages of defined benefit plans (formula) versus defined contribution plans (money purchase) is being debated in many jurisdictions, only one of the surveyed PERS has changed from defined benefit to defined contribution over the last 4 years.

CHART IV FINAL AVERAGE PERIODS-FORMULAS-LIMITATIONS

r

| Fund | FAS Period | Benefit Formula | imitation |
|--------|------------------|---|-------------------------------|
| ERS | 3 H/10 | 2.0125% x yrs. x FAS | None |
| TRS | 3 H/10 | 2.0125% x yrs. x FAS | None |
| PERS | 3 HC | *2% x 1st 10 yr; 2 1/4% x 2nd 10; 2.5% + yr | . None |
| TRS | 3 HC | *2% x 1st 20 yrs; 2.5% x added yrs. | None |
| SRS | <u>3 HC/10+</u> | 2% x yrs. x FAS | None |
| PERS | 4 HC+ | 1.55% + .322% x yrs. to age 62 | 100% FAS |
| TRS | 5 H | 1.29% x yrs. x FAS | None |
| . PERS | 3 HC+ | 2% at 60; 2.418% at 63 | None |
| . TRS | 3 HC | *2% x yrs. x FAS | None |
| PERA | 3 H (cap) | *2.5% x 1st 20 yr.; 1.50% added yrs. | 80% FAS |
| SERS | 3 H (cap) | 1.33% + 0.5% FAS over \$21,600 | None |
| TRS | 3 H | *2% x yrs. x FAS | 75% FAS |
| SEPP | 5 H | 1.67% x yrs. x FAS | 75% FAS-PIA% |
| FRS | 5 H | 1.6% at 62; 1.68% if 65 or 33 yrs. | None |
| ERS | 2 HC (cap) | 1.64% x yrs. x FAS | None |
| TRS | 2 HC (cap) | 2% x yrs. x FAS | 40 yrs. max. |
| i ERS | 3 HC | 1.25% x yrs. x FAS | None |
| PERS | 4 HC+ | 1.833% x yrs. x FAS | 100% FAS |
| SERS | 4 HC/10+ | 1% x 1st 10 yr. to 1.5% x yrs. over 30 | 75% FAS |
| TRS | 4 HC/10(cap) | *1.67% x 1st 10 yr. to 2.3% x yrs. 30+ | 75% FAS |
| MRF | 4 HC/10+(cap) |) 1.67% x 1st 15 yrs.; 2% x added yrs. | 75% FAS |
| PERF | 5 H | 1.1% x yrs. x FAS + "EE" M.P. Annuity | 45 yrs. max |
| TRF | 5 H | 1.1% x yrs. x FAS + "EE" M.P. Annuity | None |
| PERS | 3 Н (сар) | 1.913% x yrs. x FAS | Wage Cap |
| PERS | <u>3 H</u> | 1.75% x yrs. x FAS | None |
| ERS | 5 H | 1.97%-state; 2.2%-county | None |
| TRS | 5 H | *2.5% x yrs. x FAS | 100% FAS |
| . SERS | 3 HC + | *2.5% x yrs. x FAS | 100% FAS |
| . TRS | - | *2.5% x yrs. x FAS | 100% FAS |
| SRS | <u>3 H (cap)</u> | *2.0% x yrs. x FAS | None |
| SRS | 3 HC | (.8% x \$20,600 FAS) + (1.5% x excess FAS) | None |
| SERS | 3 HC | *2.5% x yrs. x FAS (if 65) | 80% FAS |
| TRS | 3 HC | *2.5% x yrs. x FAS (if 65) | 80% FAS |
| SERS | 3 HC + | 1.5% x yrs. x FAS | None |
| MERS | 5/3 HC + | Employer Plan Options | None |
| PSERS | - | 1.5% x yrs. x FAS | None |
| MSRS | 5 HC | 1.5% x yrs. x FAS | 100% FAS |
| PERA | 5 HC | 1.5% x yrs. x FAS | 100% FAS |
| TRA | 5 HC | 1.5% x yrs. x FAS | 100% FAS |
| PERS | 4 HC (cap) | 1.875% x 1st 25 yrs.; 2% x added years | Wage Cap |
| SERS | 3 HC + | 1.5% x yrs. x FAS | None |
| | S 5/3 HC + | Employer Plan Options (1% to 1.5%) | None |
| PSRS | 5 HC | *2.1% x yrs. x FAS | 100% FAS |
| PERS | | | None |
| TRS | 3 HC | 1.67% x yrs. x FAS | None |
| P | ERS | ERS 3 HC + RS 3 HC (* No Social | ERS 3 HC + 1.79% x yrs. x FAS |

(+ High years in FAS actually expressed in months)

CHART IV

FINAL AVERAGE PERIODS-FORMULAS-LIMITATIONS

| | <u>State</u> | Fund | FAS Period | Benefit Formula | Limitation |
|------------|--------------|--------|--------------|--|------------|
| 46. | Nebr. | SERS | - | Money Purchase | None |
| 47. | Nebr. | SRS | 3 HC | 1.73% x yrs. x FAS | None |
| 48. | Nevada | PERS | 3 HC + | *2.5% x yrs. x FAS | 75% FAS |
| 49. | N.H. | NHRS | 3 H (cap) | 1.67% to 65; 1.515% after 65 | None |
| <u>50.</u> | N.J. | PERS | <u>3 H</u> | 1.67% x yrs. x FAS | None |
| 51. | N.J. | TRS | 3 H | 1.67% x yrs. x FAS | None |
| 52. | N.M. | PERS | 3 HC + | 2.5% x yrs. x FAS | 75% FAS |
| 53. | N.M. | ERA | 5 HC | 2.35% x yrs. x FAS | None |
| 54. | N.Y. | ERS | 3 HC (cap) | (2% x 1st 30 yrs) + (1.5% x add. yrs.) | None |
| <u>55.</u> | N.Y. | TRS | 3 HC (cap) | (2% x 1st 30 yrs) + (1.5% x add. yrs.) | None |
| 56. | N.C. | TSERS | 4 HC | 1.71% x yrs. x FAS | None |
| 57. | N.C. | LGERS | 4 HC | 1.71% x yrs. x FAS | None |
| 58. | N.D. | PERS | 3 HC/10 + | 1.74% x yrs. x FAS | 100% FAS |
| 59. | N.D. | TRF | 3 HC | 1.55% x yrs. x FAS | None |
| 60. | Ohio | PERS | <u>3 H</u> | *(2.1% x 1st 30 yrs) + (2.5% x add yrs.) | 100% BAS |
| 61. | Ohio | STRS | 3 Н | *(2.1% x 1st 30 yrs) + (2.5% x add yrs.) | 100% FAS |
| 62. | Okla. | PERS | 3 H/10 (cap) | 2% x yrs. x FAS | Wage Cap |
| \$3. | Okla. | TRS | 5 HC | 2% x yrs. x FAS | None |
| 64. | Oreg. | PERS | 3 H/10 + | 1.67% x yrs. x FAS | None |
| <u>65.</u> | Penn. | SERS | <u>3 H</u> | 2% x yrs. x FAS | None |
| 66. | Penn. | PSERS | 3 H | 2% x yrs. x FAS | None |
| 67. | R.I. | ERS | 3 HC | (1.7% x 1st 10 yr.) to 3.0% yr. over 20 | 80% FAS |
| 68. | s.c. | SCRS | 3 HC + | 1.82% x yrs. x FAS | None |
| 69. | S.D. | SRS | 3 HC/10 | (1.3% x FAS) or (2%-PIA) | None |
| <u>70.</u> | Tenn. | CRS | 5 HC | (1.5% x yrs. x FAS) + .25% FAS over \$21,600 | 75% FAS |
| 71. | Texas | ERS | 3 H + | 2% x yrs. x FAS | 100% FAS |
| 72. | Texas | TRS | 3 H | *2% x yrs. x FAS | None |
| 73. | Texas | MRS | - | Money Purchase Options | None |
| 74. | Utah | SRS | 3 HC (cap) | 2% x yrs. x FAS | None |
| <u>75.</u> | Vert. | SRS | 3 HC | 1.67% x yrs. x FAS | 50% FAS |
| 76. | Vert. | TRS | 3 HC | 1.67% x yrs. x FAS | 50% FAS |
| 77. | Virg. | SRS | 3 HC | (1.5% x \$13,200 FAS) + (1.65% added FAS) | 100% FAS |
| 78. | Wash. | PERS | 5 HC + | 2% x yrs. x FAS | None |
| 79. | Wash. | TRS | 5 HC + | 2% x yrs. x FAS | None |
| <u>80.</u> | W.V. | PERS | 3 HC/10 | 2% x yrs. x FAS | None |
| 81. | W.V. | TRS | - | Money Purchase (hired after 6/30/91) | None |
| 82. | Wyom. | WRS | 3 HC | 2% x yrs. x FAS | None |
| 83. | Milw. | City | 3 H | 2% x yrs. x FAS | 70% FAS |
| 84. | Milw. | County | 5 HC | 1.5% x yrs. x FAS | 80% FAS |
| <u>85.</u> | Wis. | WRS | <u>3 H</u> | 1.6% x yrs. x FAS | 65% FAS |

(* No Social Security)

(+ High years in FAS actually expressed in months)

V. POST-RETIREMENT ADJUSTMENTS AND BENEFIT TAXES

A. COLAs and State Taxes

Social Security. Pension designers are concerned with the adequacy of benefits at the time of retirement, and also with the continuing purchasing power of those benefits during retirement as effected by inflation and taxes. Since 1975, social security benefits have been automatically adjusted each year according to changes in the Consumer Price Index (CPI). The automatic adjustments in the most recent 10-year period are as follows:

| <u>CPI Year</u> | <u>% Increase</u> | <u>Payable</u> |
|-----------------|-------------------|----------------|
| 1984 | 3.5% | 1/1/85 |
| 1985 | 3.1% | 1/1/86 |
| 1986 | 1.3% | 1/1/87 |
| 1987 | 4.2% | 1/1/88 |
| 1988 | 4.0% | 1/1/89 |
| 1989 | 4.7% | 1/1/90 |
| 1990 | 5.4% | 1/1/91 |
| 1991 | 3.7% | 1/1/92 |
| 1992 | 3.0% | 1/1/93 |
| 1993 | 2.6% | 1/1/94 |

Hence, to the degree that social security is part of total retirement planning (80% of PERS in study), at least that part of income keeps pace with inflation -- nearly 42% compounding over the above 10-year period. Although social security benefits were once tax-free, effective January 1, 1994, up to 50% of such benefits are now subject to federal tax if recognized income during retirement falls within specified levels -- \$25,000 to \$34,000, if single and \$32,000 to \$44,000 if filing joint. If income exceeds these levels, then 85% of social security benefits are taxable.

A June, 1992 publication by AARP* notes that the 50 states have different policies on taxing social security benefits as follows:

- 26 states allow full exemption of social security benefits from personal income tax.
- 14 states allow partial exemption of social security benefits from personal income tax.
- 10 states have very limited or no personal income tax.

<u>COLA Plans.</u> The inflation of the 1970's caused many public pension plans to adopt post-retirement adjustment plans (COLAs) to protect annuity purchasing power. The types of plans are noted in Chart V on pages 19 and 20, and that information can be summarized and compared with 1990's survey as follows:

| | <u>1990</u> | Survey | <u>1994</u> | Survey |
|--------------------------------|-------------|--------|-------------|--------|
| CPI Plan Plus/Minus Cap | | plans | | plans |
| Automatic Annual Increase | 17 | plans | 17 | plans |
| Investment Surplus | 6 | plans | 5 | plans |
| Ad Hoc or Money Purchase | 25 | plans | 24 | plans |
| TOTAL | 85 | plans | 85 | plans |

(*=American Association of Retired Persons)

The COLA tables note some changes in the type and number of postretirement adjustment plans over four years. During the 1990-92 period, one fund's prefunding of increases was deleted, two others made modest improvements in their COLAs, and the three Minnesota funds changed from an investment surplus COLA to one based upon CPI with cap, \pm investment surplus. In the 1992-94 period, two plans added automatic annual COLAs, two plans increased their CPI cap, and one plan changed from a CPI to an investment surplus COLA.

Pension Plan Taxes. The taxability of public pension benefits at the state level was dramatically effected by the 1989 Federal Supreme Court decision in <u>Davis v. Michigan</u>. This decision noted that federal law (The Public Salary Tax Act of 1939) requires that federal and military retirees be treated at least as favorably as state and local retirees under state law.

Comparative studies in the 1980's noted that well over 50% of the 85 PERS were in states with no state income tax law, or were totally exempted from state income taxes. These results were drastically altered by the Supreme Court case. The current survey may be summarized and compared with the 1986 and 1990 surveys as follows:

| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 1986 Survey | <u>1990 Survey</u> | 1994 Survey |
|---------------------------------------|----------------|--------------------|-------------|
| No state income tax law** | 15 plans | 15 plans | 13 plans |
| Benefits totally exempt | 46 plans | 21 plans | 21 plans |
| Benefits partially exempt | 11 plans | 31 plans | 24 plans |
| Benefits most or totally tax. | 10 plans | 15 plans | 24 plans |
| Exempt for some | <u>3 plans</u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| TOTAL | 85 plans | 85 plans | 85 plans |

<u>Trends.</u> The majority of PERS in this study enacted some type of automatic post-retirement adjustment plan during the 1970's and 1980's. Most of these "COLA plans" are based upon changes in the CPI, with some type of annual limit to control costs. Some of these COLA plans are pre-funded during the working career, while others are not. The small number of changes in COLA plans in the last two years reflect less concern with inflation, and may best be described as "fine tuning". Actuarial funding of the COLA plans presumably will be a major problem in the future.

The 1994 study reflects that most states have now reacted to the Michigan-Davis Supreme Court Case, and have made necessary changes to state income tax laws to comply. Where the majority of PERS in the studies before 1989 were exempt from state taxes, the majority are now partially or totally subject to state income taxes. Some of these changes are being challenged in court. In total, the changes in state and federal laws relative to the taxation of pension and social security benefits may well affect the adequacy of retiree income.

(**Includes PERS in New Hampshire and Tennessee which have very limited income taxes.)

 $\langle \cdot \rangle$

| | <u>CHAR</u> | <u>t v</u> | | | |
|-----------------|-------------|------------|-------|-----|------------|
| POST-RETTREMENT | INCREASES | AND | STATE | TAI | PROVISIONS |

| | | | <u>Soc.</u> | | <u>State Taxation of</u> |
|------------|--------------|--------|-------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| | <u>State</u> | Fund | <u>Sec.</u> | Post-Retirement Increases | PERS Benefits |
| | | | | | |
| 1. | Alab. | ERS | Yes | Ad Hoc Only | Benefits Exempt |
| 2. | Alab. | TRS | Yes | Ad Hoc Only | Benefits Exempt |
| з. | Alas. | PERS | No | 75% of CPI if 65; 50% if under 65 | No income tax law |
| 4. | Alas. | TRS | No | 75% of CPI at age 65 | No income tax law |
| <u>5.</u> | Ariz. | SRS | Yes | Ad Hoc Only (regular) | Exempt to \$2,500 |
| 6. | Arka. | PERS | Yes | CPI-3% cap** | Exempt to \$6,000 |
| 7. | Arka. | TRS | Yes | CPI-3% cap* | Exempt to \$6,000 |
| 8. | Calif. | PERS | Yes | Investment - Maximum 2%** | Benefits Taxable |
| 9. | Calif. | TRS | No | Automatic 2% Annual increase* | Benefits Taxable |
| <u>10.</u> | Colo. | PERA | No | CPI-3.5% cap** | Exempt to \$20,000 |
| 11. | Conn. | SERS | Yes | Automatic 3% annual increase | Benefits Taxable |
| 12. | Conn. | TRS | No | Excess investment COLA | Benefits Taxable |
| 13. | Dela. | SEPP | Yes | Ad Hoc Only | Exempt to \$3,000 |
| 14. | Flor. | FRS | Yes | Automatic 3% annual increase** | No income tax law |
| <u>15.</u> | Geor. | ERS | Yes | CPI-1.5% semi-annual cap** | Exempt to \$10,000 |
| 16. | Geor. | TRS | Yes | CPI-1.5% semi-annual cap* | Exempt to \$10,000 |
| 17. | Hawaii | ERS | Yes | 2.5% automatic annual increase* | Benefits Exempt |
| 18. | Idaho | PERS | Yes | CPI-1% minimum to 6% maxconditional | Partial Exclusion |
| 19. | I11. | SERS | Yes | Automatic 3% annual increase ** | Benefits Exempt |
| 20. | <u>111.</u> | TRS | No | Automatic 3% annual increase ** | Benefits Exempt |
| 21. | I11. | MRF | Yes | Automatic 3% annual increase * | Benefits Exempt |
| 22. | Ind. | PERF | Yes | Ad Hoc Only (regular) | Benefits Taxable |
| 23. | Ind. | TRF | Yes | Ad Hoc Only | Benefits Taxable |
| 24. | Iowa | PERS | Yes | Ad Hoc Only | Benefits Taxable |
| <u>25.</u> | Kans. | PERS | Yes | Ad Hoc Only | Benefits Exempt |
| 26. | Kent. | ERS | Yes | Automatic 50% of "rate margin" | Benefits Exempt |
| 27. | Kent. | TRS | No | Ad Hoc (regular) | Benefits Exempt |
| 28. | Louis. | SERS | No | CPI-3% cap-conditional | Benefits Exempt |
| 29. | Louis. | TRS | No | CPI-2.5% cap-conditional | Benefits Exempt |
| 30. | Maine | SRS | No | CPI-4% cap if 62** | Benefits Taxable |
| 31. | Mary. | SRS | Yes | CPI adjustment-3% cap* | Partial Exemption |
| 32. | Mass. | SERS | No | CPI-3% cap - conditional | Benefits Exempt |
| 33. | Mass. | TRS | No | CPI-3% cap - conditional | Benefits Exempt |
| 34. | Mich. | SERS | Yes | 3% annual increase* (cap) | Benefits Exempt |
| 35. | Mich. | MERS | Yes | 3 Automatic plans-ER election | Benefits Exempt |
| 36. | Mich. | PSERS | Yes | Automatic 3% annual increase * | Benefits Exempt |
| 37. | Minn. | MSRS | Yes | CPI-3.5% cap plus invest. surplus ** | Mostly taxable |
| 38. | Minn. | PERA | Yes | CPI-3.5% cap plus invest. surplus ** | Mostly taxable |
| 39. | Minn. | TRS | Yes | CPI-3.5% cap plus invest. surplus ** | Mostly taxable |
| 40. | Miss. | PERS | Yes | CPI-2.5% cap + Ad Hoc | Benefits Exempt |
| 41. | Mou. | SERS | Yes | 80% CPI: 4% min/5% max. ** | Exempt to \$6,000 |
| 42. | Mou. | LAGERS | Yes | CPI - 4% cap | Exempt to \$6,000 |
| 43. | Mou. | PSRS | No | CPI - 5% cap ** | Exempt to \$6,000 |
| 44. | Mont. | PERS | Yes | Excess investment COLA | Exempt to \$3,600 |
| 45. | Mont. | TRS | Yes | Excess investment COLA | Exempt to \$3,60 |
| - | | | | original benefit) | |

(* = Simple increases based on original benefit)

(** = Compound increases based on current benefit)

CHART V

POST-RETIREMENT INCREASES AND STATE TAX PROVISIONS

| | | | Soc. | | State Taxation of |
|------------|--------------|--------|------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|
| | <u>State</u> | Fund | Sec. | Post-Retirement Increases | PERS Benefits |
| | | | | | |
| 46. | Nebr. | SERS | Yes | Ad Hoc Only | Benefits Taxable |
| 47. | Nebr. | TRS | Yes | Ad Hoc Only | Benefits Taxable |
| 48. | Nevada | PERS | No | CPI-Cap of 2%, 3%, or 3 1/2% (varies) | No income tax law |
| 49. | N.H. | NHRS | Yes | Ad Hoc (regular) * | Benefits Exempt |
| <u>50.</u> | <u>N.J.</u> | PERS | Yes | 60% of CPI adjustment * | Exempt to \$7,500 |
| 51. | N.J. | TRS | Yes | 60% of CPI adjustment * | Exempt to \$7,500 |
| 52. | N.M. | PERA | Yes | 3% Automatic increase ** | Benefits Taxable |
| 53. | N.M. | ERA | Yes | 1/2 of CPI - 4% cap ** | Benefits Taxable |
| 54. | N.Y. | ERS | Yes | Ad Hoc Only | Benefits Exempt |
| <u>55.</u> | N.Y. | TRS | Yes | Ad Hoc Only | Benefits Exempt |
| 56. | N.C. | TSERS | Yes | CPI if surpluses allow | Exempt to \$4,000 |
| 57. | N.C. | LGERS | Yes | CPI if surpluses allow | Exempt to \$4,000 |
| 58. | N.D. | PERS | Yes | Ad Hoc Only | Benefits Taxable |
| 59. | N.D. | TRF | Yes | Ad Hoc Only | Benefits Taxable |
| <u>60.</u> | Ohio | PERS | No | CPI - 3% cap * | Benefits Taxable |
| 61. | Ohio | STRS | No | CPI - 3% cap * | Benefits Taxable |
| 62. | Okla. | PERS | Yes | Ad Hoc Only | Exempt to \$5,500 |
| 63. | Okla. | TRS | Yes | Ad Hoc Only | Exempt to \$5,500 |
| 64. | Oreg. | PERS | Yes | CPI-2% cap plus Ad Hoc | Benefit Taxable |
| <u>i5.</u> | Penn. | SERS | Yes | Ad Hoc Only | Benefits Exempt |
| 66. | Penn. | PSERS | Yes | Ad Hoc Only | Benefits Exempt |
| 67. | R.I. | ERS | Yes | 3% automatic increasee ** | Benefits Taxable |
| 68. | s.c. | SCRS | Yes | CPI - 4% cap ** | Exempt to \$3,000 |
| 69. | S.D. | SRS | Yes | 3.1% automatic increase | No income tax law |
| <u>70.</u> | Tenn. | CRS | Yes | CPI - 3% cap * | Benefits Exempt |
| 71. | Texas | ERS | Yes | Ad Hoc Only | No income tax law |
| 72. | Texas | TRS | No | Ad Hoc Only | No income tax law |
| 73. | Texas | MRS | Yes | 2 1/2% automatic increase | No income tax law |
| 74. | Utah | SRS | Yes | CPI - 4% cap * | Partial exemption |
| <u>75.</u> | Vert. | SRS | Yes | 1/2 of CPI-5% cap | Benefits Taxable |
| 76. | Vert. | TRS | Yes | CPI adjustments - 5% cap | Benefits Taxable |
| 77. | Virg. | SRS | Yes | CPI to 3 + $1/2$ CPI over (5 Max) | Partially Exempt |
| 78. | Wash. | PERS | Yes | CPI - 3% cap** | No Income Tax Law |
| 79. | Wash. | TRS | Yes | CPI - 3% cap** | No Income Tax Law |
| 80. | W.V. | PERS | Yes | Ad Hoc Only | Partial Exemption |
| 81. | W.V. | TRS | Yes | Money Purchase | Partial Exemption |
| 82. | Wyom. | WRS | Yes | CPI-1% cap plus Ad Hoc | No income tax law |
| 83. | Milw. | City | Yes | 2% Automatic after 8 yrs. retirement | Exempt for some |
| 84. | Milw. | County | Yes | 2% automatic increase * | Exempt for some |
| 85. | Wis. | WRS | Yes | Investment surplus increase ** | Exempt for some |
| | | | | | |

(*

= Simple increases based on original benefit)
= Compound increases based on current benefit) (**

E

1004

VI. ACTUARIAL AND ACCOUNTING INFORMATION

A. Information Description

Actuarial Methods. An actuarial method is a procedure for determining the present value of pension benefits that will be paid in the future, and allocating that value and the cost of such benefits to specific time periods. There are a number of accepted actuarial methods that presumably will reach the same goal of fully funding all pension obligations as they become due, but they allocate costs in different ways during the working career or accumulation stage.

Chart VI on pages 23 and 24 notes the actuarial methods used by the 85 PERS, and this information can be compared with the 1990 study as follows:

| | <u>1990</u> | Survey | 1994 | Survey |
|-------------------------------|-------------|--------|------|--------|
| PERS Using entry age normal | 63 | plans | 65 | plans |
| PERS using unit credit | | plans | 12 | plans |
| PERS using aggregate cost | | plans | 4 | plans |
| PERS using attained age | | plans | | plan |
| PERS using projected benefits | 4 | plans | 2 | plans |
| Money Purchase | 1 | plan | 1 | plan |
| TOTAL | 85 | plans | 85 | plans |

.....

This survey indicates that about 77% of the PERS use entry age normal with a goal to provide level normal cost projections over the long-term -- from generation to generation of taxpayers.

Interest Assumption. The interest or earnings assumption adopted by the various PERS is one of the key economic assumptions in determining contribution rates. Chart VI notes the interest assumptions used by the PERS in the 1990, 1992 and 1994 surveys which may be summarized and compared as follows:

| | <u>1990 Survey</u> | 1992 Survey | <u>1994 Survey</u> |
|------------------------|--------------------|-------------|--------------------|
| 5% - 7% | 12 plans | 5 plans | 2 plans |
| 7+% - 8% | 49 plans | 51 plans | 54 plans |
| 8+% | 22 plans | 28 plans | 28 plans |
| Unknown/Money Purchase | 2 plans | 1 plan | <u> </u> |
| TOTAL | 85 plans | 85 plans | 85 plans |

The 1994 survey indicates that the majority of PERS studied have adopted an interest assumption equal or exceeding 8%, with an average assumption of 8.1%.

Economic Spread. Another important economic assumption is the assumption as to inflation or across-the-board salary increases that are over and above merit or seniority adjustments. The difference between the inflationary salary assumption and the interest assumption is often referred to as the "economic spread"-- i.e., the assumed real return on invested assets above the inflation rate.

Chart VI notes the wage inflation assumption and resulting spreads of the 85 PERS in this study:

| | 1990 Survey | 1992 Survey | <u>1994 Survey</u> |
|------------------|-------------|-------------|--------------------|
| 0 - 1% Spread | 6 plans | 2 plans | 2 plans |
| 1+% - 2% spread | 24 plans | 17 plans | 15 plans |
| 2+% - 3% spread | 25 plans | 25 plans | 27 plans |
| 3+% spread | 16 plans | 26 plans | 22 plans |
| Spread undefined | 14 plans | 15 plans | 19 plans |
| TOTAL | 85 plans | 85 plans | 85 plans |

The 1994 study indicates that the average "spread" was 2.85%. During the 1992-94 period, 12 PERS increased and 7 PERS decreased their spread.

PBO Funding Ratio. The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) requires public pension plans to disclose the "pension benefit obligation" or PBO which is a measure of the present value of pension benefits, adjusted for the effects of projected salary increases, but estimated on service earned to date only. The PBO is determined by the projected unit credit actuarial method -- a method that differs from that used by most systems to determine their contribution rates.

The PBO funding ratio compares plan assets to its PBO liabilities, but this disclosure allows pension assets to be valued at cost, market, or some smoothed market approach. Therefore, PBO funding ratios are most informative if used to note the trend of a particular PERS from year to year -- i.e., whether the ratio is increasing or decreasing over time.

| | 1990 Survey | 1992 Survey | 1994 Survey |
|-------------------------------|----------------|---------------|-------------|
| PBO Ratio of 100+% | 19 plans | 23 plans | 25 plans |
| PBO Ratio of 90+%-100% | 15 plans | 7 plans | 20 plans |
| PBO Ratio of 80+%-90% | 10 plans | 20 plans | 11 plans |
| PBO Ratio of 70+%-80% | 17 plans | 14 plans | 16 plans |
| PBO Ratio of 60+%-70% | 9 plans | 9 plans | 1 plan |
| PBO Ratio of 50+%-60% | 7 plans | 5 plans | 7 plans |
| PBO Ratio of Under 50% | 4 plans | 6 plans | 4 plans |
| PBO Unknown/Money Purch. | <u>4 plans</u> | <u>l plan</u> | 1 plan |
| TOTAL | 85 plans | 85 plans | 85 plans |

Trends. During the 1992-94 time period, there was little change in the actuarial methods used by the 85 PERS studied. The Entry Age Normal is the predominant method used by 77% of the PERS studied.

During the 1992-94 period, numerous changes were made in the PERS interest and salary assumptions. As a result, 12 plans increased and 7 plans decreased their "economic spread". This activity is significantly less than the 1990-92 period when 29 plans increased their "spread".

The PBO ratios of the 85 PERS remain strong with an average ratio of 85.33% in the 1994 study. Seventy-five percent of the PERS improved their funding ratio from the 1992 survey levels.

<u>CHART VI</u> ACTUARIAL AND ACCOUNTING

| Actuarial Interest Wage Economic Page State Fund Method Assumption Inflation Spread Fundi 1. Alab. ERS Entry Age 8%- N.D. - 103. 2. Alab. TRS Entry Age 8%- N.D. - 101. 3. Alas. PERS Unit Credit 8.75%- N.D. - 91. 4. Alas. TRS Unit Credit 9% N.D. - 91. 5. Ariz. SRS Unit Credit 9% N.D. - 91. 5. Ariz. PERS Entry Age 8% 5% 3% 103. 6. Ark. PERS Entry Age 8.5% N.D. - 55. 7. Ark. TRS Entry Age 8.5% N.D. - 74. 10. Colo. PERA Entry Age 8.5% N.D. <td< th=""><th>./</th></td<> | ./ |
|--|-----------------|
| 1. Alab. ERS Entry Age 8%- N.D. - 103. 2. Alab. TRS Entry Age 8%- N.D. - 101. 3. Alas. PERS Unit Credit 8.75%- N.D. - 91. 4. Alas. TRS Unit Credit 9% N.D. - 91. 5. Ariz. SRS Unit Credit 8% 5% 3% 103. 6. Ark. PERS Entry Age 7.75%+ 4.75%- 3%+ 124. 7. Ark. TRS Entry Age 8% 5.5% 2.5% 89. 8. Calif. PERS Entry Age 8.5% 6.5% 2.0% 74. 10. Colo. PERA Entry Age 8.5% N.D. - 73. 11. Conn. SERS Entry Age 8.5% N.D. - 73. 13. Dela. SEPP Unit Credit 8.5% 5.0% 3.5% 104. 14. Flor. FRS Entry Age 7.5% N.D. - 73. 15. Geor. ERS< | |
| 2. Alab. TRS Entry Age 8%- N.D. - 101. 3. Alas. PERS Unit Credit 8.75%- N.D. - 91. 4. Alas. TRS Unit Credit 9% N.D. - 91. 5. Ariz. SRS Unit Credit 9% N.D. - 91. 5. Ariz. SRS Unit Credit 8% 5% 3% 103. 6. Ark. PERS Entry Age 8% 5.5% 2.5% 89. 7. Ark. TRS Entry Age 8% 5.5% 2.5% 89. 8. Calif. PERS Entry Age 8.75%+ 5.25% 3.5% 90. 9. Calif. TRS Entry Age 8.5% 6.5% 2.0% 74. 10. Colo. PERA Entry Age 8.5% N.D. - 73. 11. Conn. SERS Entry Age 8.5% N.D. - 73. 13. Dela. SEPP Unit Credit 8.5% 5.0% 3.5% 93. 16. Geor. TRS </td <td></td> | |
| 3. Alas. PERS Unit Credit 8.75%- N.D. - 91. 4. Alas. TRS Unit Credit 9% N.D. - 91. 5. Ariz. SRS Unit Credit 9% N.D. - 91. 5. Ariz. SRS Unit Credit 8% 5% 3% 103. 6. Ark. PERS Entry Age 8% 5.5% 2.5% 89. 8. Calif. PERS Entry Age 8% 5.5% 2.5% 89. 8. Calif. PERS Entry Age 8.5% 6.5% 2.0% 74. 10. Colo. PERA Entry Age 8.5% N.D. - 73. 11. Conn. SERS Entry Age 8.5% N.D. - 73. 13. Dela. SEPP Unit Credit 8.5% 5.0% 3.5% 104. 14. Flor. FRS Entry Age 7.5% N.D. - 90. 15. Geor. ERS Entry Age 7.5% N.D. - 90. 17. Hawaii ERS </td <td>5%-</td> | 5%- |
| 4. Alas. TRS Unit Credit 9% N.D. - 91. 5. Ariz. SRS Unit Credit 8% 5% 3% 103. 6. Ark. PERS Entry Age 7.75%+ 4.75%- 3%+ 124. 7. Ark. TRS Entry Age 8% 5.5% 2.5% 89. 8. Calif. PERS Entry Age 8.75%+ 5.25%+ 3.5% 90. 9. Calif. TRS Entry Age 8.5% 6.5% 2.0% 74. 10. Colo. PERA Entry Age 8.5%+ 5.5% 3.0%+ 107. 11. Conn. SERS Entry Age 8.5% N.D. - 73. 13. Dela. SEPP Unit Credit 8.5% N.D. - 73. 15. Geor. ERS Entry Age 7.5% 4% 3.5% 93. 16. Geor. TRS Entry Age 7.5% N.D. - 90. 17. Hawaii ERS Entry Age 7.5% N.D. - 90. 17. Hawaii | 3%- |
| 5. Ariz. SRS Unit Credit 8% 5% 3% 103. 6. Ark. PERS Entry Age 7.75%+ 4.75%- 3%+ 124. 7. Ark. TRS Entry Age 8% 5.5% 2.5% 89. 8. Calif. PERS Entry Age 8.75%+ 5.25%+ 3.5% 90. 9. Calif. TRS Entry Age 8.5% 6.5% 2.0% 74. 10. Colo. PERA Entry Age 8.5% N.D. - 55. 11. Conn. SERS Entry Age 8.5% N.D. - 73. 12. Conn. TRS Entry Age 8.5% N.D. - 73. 13. Dela. SEPP Unit Credit 8.5% 5.0% 3.5% 93. 14. Flor. FRS Entry Age 7.5% N.D. - 90. 15. Geor. TRS <td>2%-</td> | 2%- |
| 6. Ark. PERS Entry Age 7.75%+ 4.75%- 3%+ 124. 7. Ark. TRS Entry Age 8% 5.5% 2.5% 89. 8. Calif. PERS Entry Age 8.75%+ 5.25%+ 3.5% 90. 9. Calif. TRS Entry Age 8.5% 6.5% 2.0% 74. 10. Colo. PERA Entry Age 8.5% 6.5% 2.0% 74. 11. Conn. SERS Entry Age 8.5% N.D. - 55. 12. Conn. TRS Entry Age 8.5% N.D. - 73. 13. Dela. SEPP Unit Credit 8.5% S.5% 2.5% 87. 14. Flor. FRS Entry Age 7.5% N.D. - 90. 15. Geor. ERS Entry Age 7.5% N.D. - 90. 16. Geor. TRS Entry Age 8.0% 5.0% 3.0% 76. 18. < | 0%+ |
| 7. Ark. TRS Entry Age 8% 5.5% 2.5% 89. 8. Calif. PERS Entry Age 8.75%+ 5.25%+ 3.5% 90. 9. Calif. TRS Entry Age 8.5% 6.5% 2.0% 74. 10. Colo. PERA Entry Age 8.5% 6.5% 2.0% 74. 11. Conn. SERS Entry Age 8.5% N.D. - 75. 12. Conn. TRS Entry Age 8.5% N.D. - 73. 13. Dela. SEPP Unit Credit 8.5% 5.0% 3.5% 104. 14. Flor. FRS Entry Age 7.5% 4% 3.5% 93. 16. Geor. TRS Entry Age 7.5% N.D. - 90. 17. Hawaii ERS Entry Age 8.0% 5.0% 3.0% 76. 18. Idaho ERS Entry Age 8.0% 4.5% 3.5% 57. 20. < | 08- |
| 8. Calif. PERS Entry Age 8.75%+ 5.25%+ 3.5% 90. 9. Calif. TRS Entry Age 8.5% 6.5% 2.0% 74. 10. Colo. PERA Entry Age 8.5% 6.5% 2.0% 74. 11. Conn. SERS Entry Age 8.5% N.D. - 55. 12. Conn. TRS Entry Age 8.5% N.D. - 73. 13. Dela. SEPP Unit Credit 8.5% S.0% 3.5% 104. 14. Flor. FRS Entry Age 8.0% 5.5% 2.5% 87. 15. Geor. ERS Entry Age 7.5% 4% 3.5% 93. 16. Geor. TRS Entry Age 7.5% N.D. - 90. 17. Hawaii ERS Entry Age 8.0% 5.0% 3.0% 76. 18. Idaho ERS Entry Age 8.0% 4.5% 3.5% 57. 20. Ill. TRS Unit Credit 8.0% 4.0% 4.0% 58. 21. Ill.< | 38+ |
| 9. Calif. TRS Entry Age 8.5% 6.5% 2.0% 74. 10. Colo. PERA Entry Age 8.5% 6.5% 2.0% 74. 11. Conn. SERS Entry Age 8.5% 5.5% 3.0%+ 107. 11. Conn. SERS Entry Age 8.5% N.D. - 55. 12. Conn. TRS Entry Age 8.5% N.D. - 73. 13. Dela. SEPP Unit Credit 8.5% S.0% 3.5% 104. 14. Flor. FRS Entry Age 8.0% 5.5% 2.5% 87. 15. Geor. ERS Entry Age 7.5% 4% 3.5% 93. 16. Geor. TRS Entry Age 7.5% N.D. - 90. 17. Hawaii ERS Entry Age 8.0% 5.0% 3.0% 76. 18. Idaho ERS Entry Age 8.0% 4.5% 3.5% 57. 20. Ill. TRS Unit Credit 8.0% 4.0% 4.0% 58. 21. Ill. <td>3*-</td> | 3*- |
| 10.Colo.PERAEntry Age8.5%+5.5%3.0%+107.11.Conn.SERSEntry Age8.5%N.D55.12.Conn.TRSEntry Age8.5%N.D73.13.Dela.SEPPUnit Credit8.5%S.0%3.5%104.14.Flor.FRSEntry Age8.0%5.5%2.5%87.15.Geor.ERSEntry Age7.5%4%3.5%93.16.Geor.TRSEntry Age7.5%N.D90.17.HawaiiERSEntry Age8.0%5.0%3.0%76.18.IdahoERSEntry Age8.0%5.75%-2.25%+70.19.I11.SERSUnit Credit8.0%4.5%3.5%57.20.I11.TRSUnit Credit8.0%4.0%4.0%58.21.I11.MRFEntry Age7.5%3.75%-3.75%+91.22.Ind.PERFEntry Age7.5%6.5%1.0%112.23.Ind.TRFEntry Age7.5%5.5%2.0%32. | 6%+ |
| 11. Conn. SERS Entry Age 8.5% N.D. - 55. 12. Conn. TRS Entry Age 8.5% N.D. - 73. 13. Dela. SEPP Unit Credit 8.5% N.D. - 73. 14. Flor. FRS Entry Age 8.0% 5.5% 3.5% 104. 14. Flor. FRS Entry Age 8.0% 5.5% 2.5% 87. 15. Geor. ERS Entry Age 7.5% 4% 3.5% 93. 16. Geor. TRS Entry Age 7.5% N.D. - 90. 17. Hawaii ERS Entry Age 8.0% 5.0% 3.0% 76. 18. Idaho ERS Entry Age 8.0% 5.75%- 2.25%+ 70. 19. Ill. SERS Unit Credit 8.0% 4.5% 3.5% 57. 20. Ill. TRS Unit Credit 8.0% 4.0% 58. 21. Ill. MRF Entry Age 7.5% 3.75%- 3.75%+ 91. 22. Ind. PERF< |)%+ |
| 12. Conn. TRS Entry Age 8.5% N.D. - 73. 13. Dela. SEPP Unit Credit 8.5% N.D. - 73. 14. Flor. FRS Entry Age 8.0% 5.5% 3.5% 104. 14. Flor. FRS Entry Age 8.0% 5.5% 2.5% 87. 15. Geor. ERS Entry Age 7.5% 4% 3.5% 93. 16. Geor. TRS Entry Age 7.5% N.D. - 90. 17. Hawaii ERS Entry Age 8.0% 5.0% 3.0% 76. 18. Idaho ERS Entry Age 8.0% 4.5% 3.5% 97. 19. Ill. SERS Unit Credit 8.0% 4.0% 4.0% 58. 20. Ill. TRS Unit Credit 8.0% 4.0% 4.0% 58. 21. Ill. MRF Entry Age 7.5% 3.75%- 3.75%+ 91. 22. Ind. PERF Entry Age 7.5% 6.5% 1.0% 112. 23. Ind. </td <td><u>58+</u></td> | <u>58+</u> |
| 13. Dela. SEPP Unit Credit 8.5% 5.0% 3.5% 104. 14. Flor. FRS Entry Age 8.0% 5.5% 2.5% 87. 15. Geor. ERS Entry Age 7.5% 4% 3.5% 93. 16. Geor. TRS Entry Age 7.5% N.D. - 90. 17. Hawaii ERS Entry Age 8.0% 5.0% 3.0% 76. 18. Idaho ERS Entry Age 8.0% 5.7%- 2.25%+ 70. 19. Ill. SERS Unit Credit 8.0% 4.5% 3.5% 57. 20. Ill. TRS Unit Credit 8.0% 4.0% 4.0% 58. 21. Ill. MRF Entry Age 7.5% 3.75%- 3.75%+ 91. 22. Ind. PERF Entry Age 7.5% 6.5% 1.0% 112. 23. Ind. TRF Entry Age 7.5% 5.5% 2.0% 32. | 58- |
| 14. Flor. FRS Entry Age 8.0% 5.5% 2.5% 87. 15. Geor. ERS Entry Age 7.5% 4% 3.5% 93. 16. Geor. TRS Entry Age 7.5% 4% 3.5% 93. 16. Geor. TRS Entry Age 7.5% N.D. - 90. 17. Hawaii ERS Entry Age 8.0% 5.0% 3.0% 76. 18. Idaho ERS Entry Age 8.0%+ 5.75%- 2.25%+ 70. 19. Ill. SERS Unit Credit 8.0% 4.5% 3.5% 57. 20. Ill. TRS Unit Credit 8.0% 4.0% 4.0% 58. 21. Ill. MRF Entry Age 7.5% 3.75%- 3.75%+ 91. 22. Ind. PERF Entry Age 7.5% 6.5% 1.0% 112. 23. Ind. TRF Entry Age 7.5% 5.5% 2.0% 32. | 48+ |
| 15. Geor. ERS Entry Age 7.5% 4% 3.5% 93. 16. Geor. TRS Entry Age 7.5% N.D. - 90. 17. Hawaii ERS Entry Age 8.0% 5.0% 3.0% 76. 18. Idaho ERS Entry Age 8.0%+ 5.75%- 2.25%+ 70. 19. Ill. SERS Unit Credit 8.0% 4.5% 3.5% 58. 20. Ill. TRS Unit Credit 8.0% 4.0% 4.0% 58. 21. Ill. MRF Entry Age 7.5% 3.75%- 3.75%+ 91. 22. Ind. PERF Entry Age 7.5% 6.5% 1.0% 112. 23. Ind. TRF Entry Age 7.5% 5.5% 2.0% 32. | }%+ |
| 16. Geor. TRS Entry Age 7.5% N.D. - 90. 17. Hawaii ERS Entry Age 8.0% 5.0% 3.0% 76. 18. Idaho ERS Entry Age 8.0% 5.0% 3.0% 76. 19. Ill. SERS Unit Credit 8.0% 4.5% 3.5% 57. 20. Ill. TRS Unit Credit 8.0% 4.0% 4.0% 58. 21. Ill. MRF Entry Age 7.5% 3.75%- 3.75%+ 91. 22. Ind. PERF Entry Age 7.5% 6.5% 1.0% 112. 23. Ind. TRF Entry Age 7.5% 5.5% 2.0% 32. | 58+ |
| 17. HawaiiERSEntry Age8.0%5.0%3.0%76.18. IdahoERSEntry Age8.0%5.75%-2.25%+70.19. Ill.SERSUnit Credit8.0%4.5%3.5%57.20. Ill.TRSUnit Credit8.0%4.0%4.0%58.21. Ill.MRFEntry Age7.5%3.75%-3.75%+91.22. Ind.PERFEntry Age7.5%6.5%1.0%112.23. Ind.TRFEntry Age7.5%5.5%2.0%32. | 58 |
| 18. Idaho ERS Entry Age 8.0%+ 5.75%- 2.25%+ 70. 19. Ill. SERS Unit Credit 8.0% 4.5% 3.5% 57. 20. Ill. TRS Unit Credit 8.0% 4.0% 4.0% 58. 21. Ill. MRF Entry Age 7.5% 3.75%- 3.75%+ 91. 22. Ind. PERF Entry Age 7.5% 6.5% 1.0% 112. 23. Ind. TRF Entry Age 7.5% 5.5% 2.0% 32. | |
| 19. Ill. SERS Unit Credit 8.0% 4.5% 3.5% 57. 20. Ill. TRS Unit Credit 8.0% 4.0% 4.0% 58. 21. Ill. MRF Entry Age 7.5% 3.75%- 3.75%+ 91. 22. Ind. PERF Entry Age 7.5% 6.5% 1.0% 112. 23. Ind. TRF Entry Age 7.5% 5.5% 2.0% 32. | 18+ |
| 20. Ill. TRS Unit Credit 8.0% 4.0% 4.0% 58. 21. Ill. MRF Entry Age 7.5% 3.75%- 3.75%+ 91. 22. Ind. PERF Entry Age 7.5% 6.5% 1.0% 112. 23. Ind. TRF Entry Age 7.5% 5.5% 2.0% 32. | |
| 21. Ill. MRF Entry Age 7.5% 3.75%- 3.75%+ 91. 22. Ind. PERF Entry Age 7.5% 6.5% 1.0% 112. 23. Ind. TRF Entry Age 7.5% 5.5% 2.0% 32. | 38- |
| 22. Ind. PERF Entry Age 7.5% 6.5% 1.0% 112. 23. Ind. TRF Entry Age 7.5% 5.5% 2.0% 32. | }%+ |
| 23. Ind. TRF Entry Age 7.5% 5.5% 2.0% 32. | 5 |
| | |
| | 28+ |
| 24. Iowa PERS Aggregate 6.5% N.D 106. |)%+ |
| 25. Kans. PERS Unit Credit 8.0% 5.0% 3.0% 85. | 28- |
| 26. Kent. ERS Entry Age 8.0% 6.5% 1.5% 91. | 58+ |
| 27. Kent. TRS Unit Credit 8.0% 5.0% 3.0% 75. | 28+ |
| 28. Louis. SERS Unit Credit 8.25% N.D 59. | 48+ |
| 29. Louis. TRS Unit Credit 8.25% N.D 53. |) &-> |
| 30. Maine SRS Entry Age 8.2%- 6.0% 2.2%- 37. | <u>)%+</u> |
| 31. Mary. SRS Entry Age 7.5% 5.0% 2.5% 71. | 58+ |
| 32. Mass. SERS Entry Age 8.0% 6.0%+ 2.0%- 58. |)%+ |
| 33. Mass. TRS Entry Age 8.0% 6.0% 2.0%- 60. |) 3+ |
| 34. Mich. SERS Entry Age 9.2%- (T) 5.0% 4.2% (T) 90. | 58+ |
| 35. Mich. MERS Attained Age 8.0% 5.5% 2.5% 91. | <u>28-</u> |
| 36. Mich. PSERS Entry Age 9.05%- (T) 5.0% 4.05%- (T) 78. | 18+ |
| 37. Minn. MSRS Entry Age 8.5% 6.5% 2.0% 95. |)%+ |
| 38. Minn. PERA Entry Age 8.5% 6.5% 2.0% 83. | 18- |
| 39. Minn. TRA Entry Age 8.5% 6.5% 2.0% 91. |)%+ |
| 40. Miss. PERS Entry Age 8.0% 5.0% 3.0% 71. | 38+ |
| 41. Mou. SERS Entry Age 8.5% 5.0% 3.5% 107. | 38+ |
| 42. Mou. LAGERS Entry Age 7.0% 4.0% 3.0% 101. | 38- |
| 43. Mou. PSRS Entry Age 8.0% 6.5%+ 1.5%- 91. | 58+ |
| 44. Mont. PERS Entry Age 8.0% 6.5% 1.5% 78. | |
| 45. Mont. TRS Entry Age 8.0% 6.5% 1.5% 59. | |

(N.D. = not defined; salary assumption stated as age/range)

(T = Temporary increase)

(Assumptions and PBO: + = higher; - = lower)

CHART VI

ACTUARIAL AND ACCOUNTING

| | | | <u>Actuarial</u> | Interest | Wage | Economic | PBO |
|------------|--------------|--------|------------------|------------|------------------|----------|---------|
| | <u>State</u> | Fund | Method | Assumption | <u>Inflation</u> | Spread | Funding |
| | | | | | | | |
| 46. | Nebr. | SERS | Entry Age | Money Pu | irchase | | - |
| 47. | Nebr. | TRS | Entry Age | 7.5%- | N.D. | - | 92.5%+ |
| 48. | Nevada | PERS | Entry Age | 8.0% | 5.0% | 3.0% | 72.8%+ |
| 49. | N.H. | NHRS | Aggregate Open | 9.0%+ | 6.0%+ | 3.0%- | 105.6%+ |
| <u>50.</u> | N.J. | PERS | Entry Age | 8.75%+ | N.D. | | 95.0%+ |
| 51. | N.J. | TRS | Entry Age | 8.75%+ | N.D. | - | 83.8%+ |
| 52. | N.M. | PERA | Entry Age | 8.0% | 5.0% | 3.0% | 80.5%+ |
| 53. | N.M. | ERA | Entry Age | 8.0%+ | 4.0% | 4.0%+ | 74.2%- |
| 54. | N.Y. | ERS | Unit Credit | 8.75% | 5.0% | 3.75% | 103.6%- |
| <u>55.</u> | <u>N.Y.</u> | TRS | Entry Age | 8.0% | 6.5% | 1.5% | 93.5%- |
| 56. | N.C. | TSERS | Entry Age | 7.5% | N.D. | - | 103.3%- |
| 57. | N.C. | LGERS | Projected Benef | it 7.5% | N.D. | - | 107.7%- |
| 58. | N.D. | PERS | Entry Age | 8.0% | 5.0% | 3.0% | 113.3%- |
| 59. | N.D. | TRF | Entry Age | 8.0% | 4.5% | 3.5% | 95.2%+ |
| <u>60.</u> | <u>Ohio</u> | PERS | Entry Age | 7.75% | 5.25%- | 2.5%+ | 93.0%+ |
| 61. | Ohio | STRS | Entry Age | 7.5%- | 5.0%- | 2.5%+ | B1.08+ |
| 62. | Okla. | PERS | Entry Age | 7.5% | 4.78- | 2.8%+ | 98.9%+ |
| 63. | Okla. | TRS | Entry Age | 8.0% | 5.0% | 3.0% | 41.0%+ |
| 4. | Oreg. | PERS | Entry Age | 8.0% | 6.0% | 2.0% | 105.4%+ |
| 65. | Penn. | SERS | Entry Age | 9.25% | 4.0% | 5.25% | 115.6%+ |
| 66. | Penn. | PSERS | Entry Age | 8.5% | 4.0% | 4.5% | 91.0%+ |
| 67. | R.I. | ERS | Entry Age | 8.0%+ | 4.5%+ | 3.5% | 71.7%+ |
| 68. | s.c. | SCRS | Entry Age | 8.0% | 5.0% | 3.0% | 74.48+ |
| 69. | S.D. | SRS | Entry Age | 8.0% | 6.0% | 2.0% | 123.7%+ |
| <u>70.</u> | Tenn. | CRS | Entry Age | 8.0%- | 7.0% | 1.0%- | 112.7%+ |
| 71. | Texas | ERS | Entry Age | 8.5% | 4.5% | 4.0% | 111.1%+ |
| 72. | Texas | TRS | Entry Age | 8.0% | 5.75%+ | 2.25%- | 81.1%+ |
| 73. | Texas | MRS | Unit Credit | 8.0%- | N.D. | - | 74.25- |
| 74. | Utah | SRS | Entry Age | 8.0% | 4.25%- | 3.75%+ | 84.0%- |
| <u>75.</u> | Vert. | SRS | Entry Age | 8.5% | 5.0%- | 3.5%+ | 89.5%+ |
| 76. | Vert. | TRS | Projected Benef | it 8.5% | N.D. | - | 95.5%+ |
| 77. | Virg. | SRS | Entry Age | 8.0% | 4.0% | 4.0% | 72.4%+ |
| 78. | Wash. | PERS | Aggregate | 7.5% | 5.5% | 2.0% | 86.0%+ |
| 79. | Wash. | TRS | Aggregate | 7.5% | 5.5% | 2.0% | 70.0%+ |
| 80. | W.V. | PERS | Entry Age | 7.5% | 3.5% | 4.0% | 104.6%+ |
| 81. | W.V. | TRS | Entry Age | 7.5%- | 3.5%- | 4.0%+ | 11.3%+ |
| 82. | Wyom. | WRS | Entry Age | 8.0% | 4.5% | 3.5% | 111.2%+ |
| 83. | Milw. | City | Entry Age | 8.5%+ | 5.5%- | 3.0%+ | 126.0%+ |
| 84. | Milw. | County | Entry Age | 8.5% | N.D. | - | 114.0%- |
| <u>85.</u> | Wis. | WRS | Entry Age | 8.0% | 5.6% | 2.48 | 118.2%+ |

(N.D. = not defined; salary assumption stated as age/range)

(T = Temporary increase)

(Assumptions and PBO: + = higher; - = lower)